In The Matter Of:

CARE

vs.

Cow Palace

Deposition of
Virginia Prest
February 25, 2014



Central Court Reporting

800.442.DEPO

Support@centralcourtreporting.com www.centralcourtreporting.com

	IN THE UNITED STATES FOR THE EASTERN DISTRI	
-		
	COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE)
	ENVIRONMENT, INC., a Washington Non-Profit Corporation)
	and CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY, INC.,))
	a Washington, D.C. Non-Profit Corporation)
	Plaintiffs,) No. CV-13-3016-TOR
	vs.	CERTIFIED COPY
	COW PALACE, LLC, a Washington Limited Liability Company,)
	Defendant.)
)
	COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE ENVIRONMENT, INC., a)))
	Washington Non-Profit Corporation and)
	CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY, INC., a Washington, D.C. Non-Profit Corporation)))
	Plaintiffs,) No. CV-13-3017-TOR
	vs.)
	GEORGE & MARGARET, LLC, a Washington Limited Liability))
	Company and))
	GEORGE DERUYTER & SON DAIRY, LLC, a Washington Limited Liability Company)))
	Defendants.)
)

```
COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION FOR
     RESTORATION OF THE
 2
     ENVIRONMENT, INC., a
     Washington Non-Profit
 3
     Corporation
          and
 4
     CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY, INC.,
     a Washington, D.C. Non-Profit
 5
     Corporation
 6
                    Plaintiffs,
                                   No. CV-13-3018-TOR
 7
          vs.
     D & A DAIRY, a Washington
     Partnership
 9
          and
     D & A Dairy, LLC, a
10
     Washington Limited Liability
     Company,
11
                    Defendants.
12
13
     COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION FOR
     RESTORATION OF THE
14
     ENVIRONMENT, INC., a
     Washington Non-Profit
15
     Corporation
          and
16
     CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY, INC.,
     a Washington, D.C. Non-Profit
17
     Corporation
18
                    Plaintiffs, No. CV-13-3019-TOR
19
          vs.
20
     HENRY BOSMA DAIRY, a
     Washington Proprietorship,
21
     aka HANK BOSMA DAIRY, aka
     BOSMA DAIRY,
22
          and
     LIBERTY DAIRY, LLC,
23
                    Defendants.
24
25
```



1						
2	DEPOSITION OF VIRGINIA PREST					
3	Tuesday, February 25, 2014					
4						
5	APPEARANCES					
6						
7	Association for DA	HARLES TEBBUTT ANIEL SNYDER				
8	Environment: La	ARAH MATSUMOTO aw Offices of Charles M. Tebbutt 41 Lawrence Street				
9		ugene, Oregon 97401				
10	For Plaintiff Center for E	LISABETH HOLMES				
11	Food Safety: Co	enter for Food Safety 03 Sacramento Street				
12	Se	econd Floor an Francisco, California 94111				
13						
14		EBORA KRISTENSEN ivens Pursley				
15	60	01 West Bannock O Box 2720				
16	Во	oise, Idaho 83701				
17	For State of Washington: KI	RISTEN K. MITCHELL				
18		ssistant Attorney General 141 Clearwater Drive Southwest				
19	0.7	lympia, Washington 98504				
20						
21						
22						
23						
24	Reported by: Kylie Hammington, CCR, RPR License No. 2054					
25						



1	INDEX	
2	PAGE: LINE	1
3	Examination by Mr. Tebbutt6:10	
4	Examination by Ms. Kristensen100:19	
5	Further Examination by Mr. Tebbutt115:16	
6		
7		
8	EXHIBITS	
9	28 - Notice of Deposition	
10	29 - Inspector Guidance Manual20:12	
11	30 - LNMP Inspection Report30:14	
12	31 - LNMP Inspection Report40:22	
13	32 - Appendix B44:10	
14	33 - Letter46:18	
15	34 - LNMP Inspection Report62:17	
16	35 - Email62:17	
17	36 - Email67:17	
18	37 - Letter74:9	
19	38 - Letter76:15	
20	39 - LNMP Inspection Report79:11	
21	40 - Letter81:13	
22	41 - LNMP Inspection Report82:4	
23	42 - Session Notes84:4	
24	43 - Letter98:14	
25		



1			REQUESTED INFORMATION	
2				Page:Line
3	Request	for	Production	 23:12
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				



```
1
          BE IT REMEMBERED that the deposition upon oral
    examination of VIRGINIA PREST was taken on Tuesday,
    February 25, 2014, at 7141 Clearwater Drive Southwest,
    Olympia, Washington, before Kylie Hammington, Certified
    Court Reporter in the State of Washington.
 5
 6
 7
          VIRGINIA PREST, having been first duly sworn upon
    oath, testified as follows:
 9
10
                            EXAMINATION
11
    BY MR. TEBBUTT:
12
         Ms. Prest, are we ready?
13
                   MS. KRISTENSEN: Before we begin, I know
14
         we're going to have a new transcript. So I just want
15
         to make the record clear about this confidentiality
16
         objection that we've gone through this morning. Again,
17
         just so the record is clear and I don't have to keep
18
         saying this over and over, there will be a number of --
         anticipated there will be a number of documents that we
19
20
         will be talking about today and asking the witness to
21
         testify about that have been previously produced and
22
         marked as confidential pursuant to a protective order
23
         in this case.
24
              We have had -- and I hope Mr. Tebbutt will agree
25
         to continue using this shortcut -- a standing objection
```

- 1 to the confidentiality of those documents, both the
- 2 documents themselves and any testimony thereto. And so
- instead of stopping every single time one of those
- documents come up, I will refer to it as shorthand as
- 5 the confidentiality objection to that document and
- 6 testimony.
- 7 MR. TEBBUTT: And we will agree with that as
- 8 well as the fact that we will have a standing
- 9 disagreement as to whether the documents are indeed
- 10 confidential.
- 11 Q Ms. Prest, my name is Charlie Tebbutt. I represent
- 12 Community Association for Restoration of the
- 13 Environment and the Center For Food Safety in four
- cases that are being discussed here today, including
- the Cow Palace Dairy; Henry Bosma and Liberty Dairies,
- which is one dairy; George DeRuyter and Sons Dairy; and
- 17 D & A Dairy.
- 18 A Okay.
- 19 Q Ms. Prest, if you would please state your address and
- 20 full name for the record.
- 21 A My home address?
- 22 Q Whatever you prefer.
- 23 A My name is Virginia Irene Prest. I live at 5322 75th
- 24 Court Southwest, Olympia, 98512.
- 25 Q Now, Ms. Prest, have you ever been deposed before?



- 1 A I have.
- 2 Q How many times?
- 3 A Once.
- 4 Q And in what type of case?
- 5 A When CARE -- I believe it was CARE was suing the
- 6 Department of Ecology over the CAFO permit.
- 7 Q Were you deposed in that case or did you testify in an
- 8 administrative proceeding?
- 9 A I think both. Best of my recollection.
- 10 Q All right. So then as -- is that the only time you
- 11 believe you've been deposed?
- 12 A Yes, I do. I think so.
- 13 Q Have you ever testified in court other than that one
- instance that you talked about in front of the
- pollution control hearings board?
- 16 A No. I don't believe so.
- 17 Q Just some basic background. Please, whenever I ask a
- question, please wait until I'm finished. And give an
- audible answer, so yes, no, or whatever else you need
- to say. But nodding of head and inaudible or nonverbal
- responses are not okay. Do you understand that?
- 22 A I understand that.
- 23 Q Okay. Thank you. And you recognize that you're under
- oath here today?
- 25 A I recognize that I'm under oath.



- 1 Q And the purpose of this deposition is to elicit
- 2 information about dairies that you have been involved
- 3 with over the years and also your role as a
- 4 representative with the Washington Department of
- 5 Agriculture. Do you understand that?
- 6 A I understand that.
- 7 Q And that this testimony can be used for a number of
- 8 purposes, potentially in court in lieu of personal
- 9 testimony, perhaps to use to show whether your
- 10 testimony later at a trial is the same as what it is
- 11 here at the deposition. Those are two different uses
- of the information. Do you understand that?
- 13 A I understand that.
- 14 Q I want you to be clear with me, if you don't understand
- a question of mine, to say so. Otherwise I'll assume
- 16 you understood my question, okay?
- 17 A Okay.
- 18 Q You may also hear some objections interposed, either by
- 19 the attorney for the State of Washington or
- 20 Ms. Kristensen today. Just because those objections
- are interposed does not mean that you cannot answer the
- question. Do you understand that?
- 23 A I understand that.
- 24 Q You will be expected to answer the questions still.
- 25 A I understand that.



- 1 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 2 Can you please describe your educational history?
- 3 A I have a bachelor of science in agronomy from
- 4 Washington State University.
- 5 Q What year did you get that?
- 6 A 1987.
- 7 Q What particular kinds of courses did you take in
- 8 agronomy?
- 9 A In agronomy, so crop production, soils fertility, soils
- 10 classes, vegetable classes, seed classes, crop
- 11 physiology, so chemistry.
- 12 Q Do you have any postgrad experience? Or, I'm sorry,
- postbachelor's degree education?
- 14 A I have a smidgeon.
- 15 O Explain, please.
- 16 A I have some classes in ag engineering for irrigation
- water management, a little bit of environmental
- 18 science, business and law. Just sort of, you know,
- 19 here's what it's about. That may be it.
- 20 Q Okay. And did you take all these classes in the same
- 21 time frame?
- 22 A The postgrad -- I mean, the postgrad was at WSU
- Tri-Cities, so '89, '90, '91, somewhere around there.
- 24 Q So shortly after you had your BS?
- 25 A Yes. Within five years, I believe. I could look.



- 1 Q Were you enrolled in a graduate program?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Were these graduate-level courses or were these
- 4 undergraduate-level courses?
- 5 A They're graduate-level courses. I worked for WSU at
- 6 the time, so we could take classes.
- 7 Q Did you monitor the classes or did you take them for
- 8 grades, for credit?
- 9 A For credit.
- 10 Q And tell me again the first one that you said you took.
- 11 A I believe it was environmental law. I'd have to check.
- 12 Q Environmental law, but not at the law school, through
- 13 WSU?
- 14 A I don't think WSU has a law school.
- 15 O Right. It was through WSU, right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And you said you took an engineering class?
- 18 A An ag engineering class.
- 19 Q Can you describe that class a little, please?
- 20 A A lot about how water moves through pipes, a lot about
- 21 soil -- soil components as it fits into irrigation.
- 22 And so the class was really all about irrigation, so it
- 23 was infrastructure, irrigation water management,
- becoming very familiar with the soil manuals, the
- weather manuals, the types of documents that were used



- 1 to put together irrigation water management.
- 2 Q And was it general irrigation water management or was
- 3 it specific to a particular kind of industry?
- 4 A Agriculture. It was specific to agriculture.
- 5 Q Did that class entail discussions about the movement of
- 6 nitrogen through the soils and into ground water?
- 7 A Certainly.
- 8 Q So are you familiar with the nitrogen cycle?
- 9 A I am -- I am familiar with the nitrogen cycle. I
- 10 probably couldn't dictate it back to you at this point.
- 11 Q Any other class education that you've had?
- 12 A Around?
- 13 Q After college.
- 14 A Oh, you know, I've been through a couple of NRCS-type
- 15 classes. So technical assistance-type classes that
- they might use to put together a nutrient management
- 17 plan.
- 18 Q You've participated in them in the audience or did you
- 19 help teach them or both?
- 20 A Mostly -- mostly for learning.
- 21 Q Okay. Please describe your work history for me,
- 22 starting with your present job.
- 23 A Presently, I'm the program manager for the dairy
- 24 nutrient management program. I'm been in that capacity
- 25 since February 1st of 2013. From April 1st through



- 1 February -- or through January 31st -- from April 1st
- of 2012 through January 31st of 2013, was the program
- 3 manager for the animal health program in the animal
- 4 services division. And then prior to that, from April
- 5 1, 2006, to March 31, 2012, I was the lead inspector
- for the dairy nutrient management program.
- 7 Q I'm sorry. Can you tell me again those dates?
- 8 A April 1, 2006, through March 31, 2012.
- 9 Q You were lead inspector?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And what did that particular job position entail?
- 12 A Supervising the inspectors in the field. Also one of
- my responsibilities was putting together compliance
- 14 actions. So taking the recommendations for enforcement
- from the field crew, working with them to develop that,
- and then putting together the compliance actions.
- 17 Q And with your role as head of the dairy nutrient -- is
- it the dairy nutrient management planning --
- 19 A Program.
- 20 Q Program. Nutrient management program?
- 21 A Dairy nutrient management program.
- 22 Q So it's just dairy that you're in charge of?
- 23 A That's right.
- 24 Q Do you still perform the similar role that you did as
- lead inspector for putting together compliance actions



- 1 for this job?
- 2 A No. We have a new lead inspector, so I provide
- guidance for her on putting these together. I mean,
- 4 essentially I'm responsible for what goes out the door.
- 5 Q Who's that person?
- 6 A Chery Sullivan.
- 7 Q Has she been in that job during the same time period
- 8 that you have been there?
- 9 A She started that job August of 2012. I believe August
- 10 1st.
- 11 Q Who was in that role before her?
- 12 A Between March and August?
- 13 Q Yes.
- 14 A No one. The position was vacant.
- 15 Q And so if an enforcement action or any kind of
- 16 compliance action, let's say -- any kind of compliance
- action comes out of the Department of Agriculture, do
- 18 you review it first?
- 19 A Yes, sir, I do.
- 20 Q Does anyone else review it in your office?
- 21 A Right now?
- 22 Q Yes. Beyond you. Above your position.
- 23 A No, sir.
- 24 Q Does Director Hover review them before they go out?
- 25 A He will have the opportunity to review them if there's



- a penalty involved. So the penalties are assigned by
- 2 Director Hover. Notice of corrections are signed at my
- 3 level.
- 4 Q Prior to your job as lead inspector as of April 1, '06,
- 5 what was your role? Were you still employed by the
- 6 Department of Agriculture?
- 7 A Yes, I was. I started with them on August 6, 2004, and
- 8 worked as an inspector in Eastern Washington through
- 9 March 31, 2006.
- 10 Q What was your employment prior to the Department of
- 11 Agriculture?
- 12 A I had four months off and before that I was with WSU at
- the Prosser Research Station for, let's see, since June
- something -- I don't know the date exactly -- of 1990.
- 15 0 What was your role there at Prosser Research Station?
- 16 A I was in the track of a research technician. So
- 17 started out -- by the time I left, I was a research
- supervisor. In the beginning, I was a research
- technician 1 or 2, I'm not sure.
- 20 Q And what type of research did you perform?
- 21 A Spent a lot of time -- I worked for a soil scientist,
- so soil fertility, manure management, nutrient
- 23 management, sediment loading, some work also with the
- 24 pesticides registration, crop variety development for
- beans, sugar beets, tiny bit of canola.



- 1 Q Did you have any particularized research specialty in
- 2 dairy management?
- 3 A Only in terms of nutrient management.
- 4 Q So in terms of nutrient management, then, a lot of that
- 5 was -- some of that focused on dairy nutrient
- 6 management?
- 7 A It was focused on manure. Manure, other nutrients,
- 8 crop production.
- 9 Q During the entire 14 years you were there?
- 10 A No. From -- started with Bob Stephens, I think, in
- 11 November of 1990, and I worked in that capacity, either
- some kind of nutrient management, some irrigation
- management, or water quality all the way through May of
- 14 1997.
- 15 Q And then your role switched to what?
- 16 A I spent six months with the pesticide registration
- doing IR-4 work, which is doing efficacy studies for
- 18 pesticide application -- new pesticide registrations.
- 19 And after that, I moved to -- I moved to work with
- 20 Dr. An Hang in -- they called it alternative cropping,
- but we grew dry beans, sugar beets, some canola.
- MS. KRISTENSEN: What was the name? I'm
- 23 having a hard time hearing you. You said
- 24 Dr. Something.
- 25 THE WITNESS: An Hang.



- 1 Q Pardon me. I forget where you said you lived now.
- Where do you live at present?
- 3 A I live in Olympia.
- 4 Q Did you live on the Eastside before that?
- 5 A I moved to Olympia on April 1, 2006. And so prior to
- 6 that, I lived in Prosser.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A Which is in the Yakima Valley.
- 9 Q Are you married?
- 10 A I am not.
- 11 Q Ever been married?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Do you have children?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 O How many?
- 16 A Two.
- 17 Q I'm going to hand you what we marked as Exhibit 28.
- 18 (Exhibit No. 28 marked for identification.)
- 19 Q Have you seen this document before, Ms. Prest?
- 20 A Yes, I have.
- 21 Q And if you'll turn to the last page of Exhibit 28,
- there's a list of five categories of documents to
- 23 produce for this deposition. Did you review those
- 24 requests?
- 25 A I did.



- 1 Q And tell me what you did to comply with this request.
- 2 A I gathered the materials and made sure -- well, first
- of all, I asked for Dan McCarty, our inspector in
- 4 Eastern Washington, to forward his regional file. I
- 5 wanted to make sure that we had a complete record.
- 6 During the last eight months or so, our program has
- 7 been taking all of our records and scanning them and
- 8 putting them into an electronic file with the intention
- 9 of using that as our main file.
- 10 Q Is that project complete?
- 11 A Our scanning project is complete. Our access project
- is not quite complete.
- 13 Q When do you expect that to be done?
- 14 A Waiting for Department of Enterprise Services to give
- us a cloud-like -- do you know what a cloud is?
- 16 Q Yeah. There's a few outside today. But I understand
- 17 the Internet cloud too.
- 18 A The electronic.
- 19 Q In general, I understand them.
- 20 A So I'm waiting for that.
- 21 Q Do you know when you expect that?
- 22 A Sometime this spring.
- 23 Q So other than Mr. McCarty's regional file, what else
- 24 did you do to comply with this request?
- 25 A Took Mr. McCarty's file and briefly reviewed the folder



- list to make sure that we had -- had everything in
- 2 there. There was a couple things that were missing --
- 3 the technical assistance referrals were not in there --
- 4 so we got those scanned in there and put into the
- 5 electronic file.
- 6 Q And were those provided to us today?
- 7 A They're on the disk.
- 8 Q Mr. McCarty came on to the Department of Ag in, I
- 9 believe, 2010; is that correct?
- 10 A I think June. June 1st, somewhere around there.
- 11 Q So what did you do to determine whether you had files
- dating back to 2005?
- 13 A I'm not sure I understand.
- 14 Q Look at the first request for production, it asks for
- documents dating back to 2005. Did you see that?
- 16 A I see that, I'm sorry. So the Olympia file is the main
- file. And it's supposed to be complete, all the way
- back to the beginning of when the dairy was inspected.
- 19 Q So did you review those files in preparation for
- 20 providing the information that was provided today?
- 21 A Only inasmuch as going to the folder and making sure
- that those were in there.
- 23 Q When you say "the folder" --
- 24 A The electronic folder, excuse me, which you have a copy
- 25 of.



- 1 Q So we have everything -- we should have everything back
- 2 to 2005?
- 3 A That is what my expectation is.
- 4 Q The second category of documents, we requested any
- 5 employee manuals or handbooks in your possession
- 6 outlining the guidelines by which such inspections are
- 7 or were to be conducted during the relevant time
- 8 period. Those weren't provided originally, were they?
- 9 A They were not.
- 10 Q Are there additional documents -- well, let me hand
- 11 you --
- 12 (Exhibit No. 29 marked for identification.)
- 13 Q You now have in front of you Exhibit 29. This is a
- 14 guidance document that says revised October 2008. Is
- this the document that you provided this morning at
- 16 your counsel's request?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 O So as of -- is this the most recent quidance manual?
- 19 A I believe it is. There were two documents in the file
- 20 that appeared to be exactly the same. But one said
- 21 2008 and one said 2010, so I sent them both. There's
- 22 no noticeable difference between the documents, but
- there was two files in the electronic folder, so I sent
- 24 them both.
- 25 Q We don't have the cover page that says 2010.



- 1 A That's because they say 2008.
- 2 Q They both do?
- 3 A Yes. I think we were -- best I can recollect is we
- 4 were getting ready to sort of take a revision in 2010
- 5 and that project got sideways -- or sidelined.
- 6 Q Do you know why it got sidelined?
- 7 A I would be guessing, but I'm pretty sure that we had
- 8 two vacancies to fill in our inspection staff, one up
- 9 in Whatcom and one over in Eastern Washington, and I
- 10 probably got busy with that.
- 11 Q As lead inspector, was it your job to provide the
- guidance manual and to update it?
- 13 A It was one of my jobs to sort of lead that effort.
- 14 Q This says revised 2008. What was the revision process
- 15 like that led to this document, Exhibit 28?
- MS. KRISTENSEN: 29?
- 17 MR. TEBBUTT: Is this 29?
- 18 A This is Exhibit 29.
- 19 Q Sorry. Would you like me to repeat the question?
- 20 A No. You're asking me why did we revise --
- 21 Q No. What was the revision process like?
- 22 A So, you know, just pull it up. One of us would go
- 23 through it -- one of the inspectors would go through it
- and, basically, it was kind of used as a learning tool.
- 25 Q I think my question is different, though. Prior to



- this version in 2008, there was another version,
- 2 correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And you still have a copy of that version?
- 5 A I don't know. I would have to look.
- 6 Q Were you personally involved in making this guidance
- 7 manual revision in 2008?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Was that part of your job as lead inspector?
- 10 A It was part of all of the inspectors' jobs.
- 11 Q So that was what my question was trying to get at, was
- who was involved in revising the manual?
- 13 A All of the inspectors involved were involved in doing
- 14 that.
- 15 O So who were the inspectors?
- 16 A Let's see. In 2008, Cara McKinnon, who was in the
- 17 Puget Sound region; Jason Pentzer, who was at the time
- in Whatcom or sort of northwest region; and Eric Bair,
- 19 who was in the Eastern Washington region.
- 20 Q Did you look through your files to see if there was a
- 21 prior version of this guidance manual before this one
- 22 came out in October 2008?
- 23 A I did not, but I know that there's a 2006 manual,
- because I helped with that as well.
- 25 Q So it was revised -- a prior revision was 2006?



- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Did a quidance manual exist before 2006?
- 3 A No.
- 4 0 So that was the first one?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 0 And who wrote that?
- 7 A That would have been a combination of Kirk Robinson,
- 8 who was the lead inspector at the time, myself from
- 9 Eastern Washington, Jeff Kanen [phonetic] from
- 10 northwest region, and Cara McKinnon.
- 11 Q I'd like to request a copy of the 2006 version, please.
- 12 (Request for production.)
- 13 Q Your counsel will get it.
- 14 The third category of documents listed in Exhibit
- 15 28 -- that's the notice of deposition -- what did you
- do to ensure that you fulfilled that request?
- 17 A I don't think I fulfilled that request, as I read it.
- 18 Q So you haven't looked at all for those documents yet?
- 19 A All of the documents that are relating to the five
- 20 dairies --
- 21 0 Yes.
- 22 A -- those items are in there. I think I misread that.
- 23 Q Well, it does ask for all complaints related to the
- 24 named defendants. So that's the five defendants?
- 25 A Okay, yes. So that's --



- 1 MS. KRISTENSEN: You mean everything.
- 2 A Yes. For some reason I missed that.
- 3 Q And the fourth category of documents, what have you
- 4 done to fulfill that request?
- 5 A We have -- we have administrative staff in the agency,
- and they asked me for the names of the people that
- 7 might be related to the dairies, and so I provided them
- 8 with the email addresses that I had with them and then
- 9 they did a total email vault search of my -- not only
- 10 my, but Nora Mena, Chery Sullivan, Dan McCarty, and
- 11 those should be on the disk.
- 12 Q Did you have other forms of communication with the
- named defendants other than emails during that time
- 14 period of 2005 to present?
- 15 A Certainly phone calls.
- 16 Q Phone calls. Any letters?
- 17 A Not -- I don't believe so. I think it's all in the
- 18 file.
- 19 Q Any handwritten notes?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Did you ever receive any handwritten notes from any of
- the defendants?
- 23 A I don't believe I have anything other than what's in
- the file.
- 25 Q The last category of documents, all documents possessed



- by Ag related to ground water, soil, surface water,
- 2 effluent manure sampling of the named dairy defendants
- 3 or the facilities or application fields.
- 4 What did you do to determine that you had complied
- 5 with this request?
- 6 A They would have been in their file.
- 7 Q So, for instance, all the other -- prior inspectors,
- 8 Mr. Bair, yourself, all of those records back to 2005
- 9 would be in the Olympia file?
- 10 A They're supposed to be. But I did pull the regional
- file, and I can see right now that I gave you more
- information than you asked for, because I gave you all
- 13 the history as well.
- 14 Q More information is always good. Thank you.
- What information did you use to create the
- guidance manual, the 2008 guidance manual and the 2006
- 17 guidance manual?
- 18 A I really can't tell you. It would have had to start
- 19 with what we used for the 2006. The 2008 would just be
- 20 updating it for what our procedures had become. We had
- 21 a pretty simple one to start in 2006, and as you
- develop your processes and stuff, you're able to sort
- of start filling in the blanks.
- 24 Q What was the process in 2006 that you said was simple?
- 25 A Well, we just -- we just put together what we were



doing in the field, sort of tailored it around what our 1 2 inspection form was. By the time we got to 2008, you 3 know, we have a -- sort of beefed up what the water quality sampling and -- explained it in more detail. 4 So we added additional detail. 5 6 Do you have any kind of a bibliography or reference 7 cites to the references you used to create the guidance 8 manual? 9 I do not. Α 10 So, for instance, specifics about nitrogen levels in 11 soil, for instance, what did you use as a scientific 12 basis to determine what guidance to provide to your 13 inspectors? 14 So we used to also, at the same time, have a committee 15 called the ILTAC committee. It was the Interagency Livestock Technical Assistance Committee. And that 16 combined people of NRCS, conservation district, 17 18 ecology, ag, and I'm sure I'm missing somebody. Oh, and WSU. And so we would meet once a month and we 19 20 would talk about these different things and we had a 21 meeting in, I want to say, March of 2006. It could 22 possibly have been 2005. And we wanted to establish 23 some soil test -- soil test threshold levels that we 24 thought would be protective of ground water. You know, 25 and -- you're not asking that.



- And so we sought the advice of soil scientists to
- 2 sort of help us come up with this.
- 3 Q And what levels did you come up with?
- 4 A We felt like that they needed to keep their soil test
- 5 levels at 30 or below.
- 6 0 30 or below what?
- 7 A Excuse me. 30 parts per million nitrate. And I felt
- like we needed to have a compliance threshold, where,
- 9 you know, we could talk to the producers about, look,
- 10 you've got to keep things 30 or below, but have a place
- 11 where you could warn them for -- and then actually
- eventually take compliance if that's what it took.
- 13 Q So the 30 parts per million as nitrate, was there also
- an application rate for total nitrogen that related to
- that 30-part-per-million nitrate?
- 16 A No. It's based on an agro- -- we would ask the
- 17 producer to work on agronomic rates. So that's going
- to be different on each crop. That's going to be
- dependent on crop uptake or crop removal, however you
- 20 want to say that rate is.
- 21 Q The 30-parts-per-million nitrate level, at what level
- of soil is that -- do you believe is protective? What
- 23 depth of soil?
- 24 A Oh, I'm sorry. 30 parts per million in the first 12
- 25 inches.



- 1 Q First 12 inches. Okay. Do you have any levels of
- 2 concern for depths greater than 12 inches in your
- 3 guidance manuals?
- 4 A I couldn't tell you. I didn't review it before. I
- just don't remember if we ever got that far.
- 6 Q You were involved, were you not, in the development of
- 7 the 2006 CAFO general permit for CAFO -- general NPDES
- 8 permit; were you not?
- 9 A Sort of.
- 10 Q In what way were you sort of involved?
- 11 A I went to the public comment sessions. I believe I
- 12 made one public -- I mean, I made one comment. Beyond
- 13 that...
- 14 Q Were you involved in any interagency discussions about
- 15 the permit requirements?
- 16 A At the public meetings, I probably went to a couple of
- 17 LDOCs, but -- you know, my job in 2005, 2004, I was
- new, and I was sitting at the back of the room. So,
- 19 you know, my comments might have gone through to my
- 20 boss or possibly -- I think I did make a comment about
- 21 the soil sampling depth. I felt like in Eastern
- Washington they should be going deeper than one foot.
- 23 O LDOC you mentioned. What does LDOC stand for?
- 24 A Livestock something Oversight Committee.
- 25 Q Does development refresh your recollection?



- 1 A Yes. Livestock Development Oversight Committee.
- 2 0 Who is on that committee?
- 3 A There was producers -- I couldn't tell you names. I
- 4 mean, I might be able to look at a list and say, yeah,
- 5 that makes sense, but producers and livestock
- 6 stakeholder groups, some environmental stakeholders, I
- 7 believe, and agencies -- state agency people.
- 8 Q So it was more than just government people?
- 9 A That's my understanding. I mean, that's my
- 10 recollection.
- 11 Q At one point, as you said, you were an inspector from
- July 2004 through at least April 1, 2006. You were an
- inspector in the Eastside, correct?
- 14 A On the Eastside, yes.
- 15 Q And what was -- describe your area of responsibility
- 16 geographically.
- 17 A Geographically? West of the Cascades. Excuse me, no,
- 18 east of the Cascades.
- 19 Q East. The other east. And approximately how
- 20 many -- was it all dairies that you were responsible
- 21 for or all CAFOs?
- 22 A It was about 165 dairies, and at the time I believe
- there were six, maybe seven feed lots.
- 24 O Beef feed lots?
- 25 A Beef feed lots that were covered under a CAFO NPDES



- 1 permit or not, and all dairies, whether they were
- 2 covered under an NPDES permit or not.
- 3 Q When you came on in 2004, do you know how many dairies
- 4 were subject to the NPDES permit?
- 5 A I'd be just guessing.
- 6 Q Can you give me an approximate number?
- 7 A 120 or so.
- 8 Q And now how many were under the NPDES permit?
- 9 A I believe it's somewhere between five and seven. It's
- 10 kind of a moving target.
- 11 Q So you had back in -- again, you did some inspections
- of some of the defendant facilities, correct?
- 13 A I did.
- 14 (Exhibit No. 30 marked for identification.)
- MS. KRISTENSEN: Same confidentiality
- objection.
- 17 Q Ms. Prest, is Exhibit 30 that was handed to you, is
- that your handwriting?
- 19 A That is my handwriting.
- 20 Q And you conducted an inspection on November 22, 2005,
- 21 at the Cow Palace Dairy, correct?
- 22 A That's what this inspection form says.
- 23 Q And tell me what Springer One is.
- 24 A Cow Palace has two milking parlors, and so each milking
- 25 parlor is assigned a unique agricultural ID by the food



- 1 safety program through the Department of Ag, so Cow
- 2 Palace -- this Cow Palace and this Springer One is now
- 3 known as Cow Palace 1 and Cow Palace 2.
- 4 Q Is Springer One now Cow Palace 2?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q So at that time, it had just one ag ID number?
- 7 A No. It had two ag ID numbers, although if you see it,
- 8 there's a little question mark here.
- 9 Q Yes.
- 10 A So I don't have the 9133. That would be, I believe,
- 11 Springer One's. And at the time, we were doing -- I
- mean, we were still trying to get our hands around
- about the double parlors. So if they had two parlors
- but they had common storage, common acreage, didn't
- seem to make much sense to do two inspection forms for
- the same thing.
- 17 Q Is this the first time that you inspected -- we'll just
- 18 call it Cow Palace for simplification purposes -- Cow
- 19 Palace?
- 20 A Rather suspect it is.
- 21 Q And how long did this inspection take?
- 22 A I can't tell that. It does not look like I put the
- departure time down, right.
- 24 Q Yes. As you sit here today, can you recall how long
- 25 you took to inspect Cow Palace that day?



- 1 A I cannot. It would only be speculation.
- 2 Q Did you tour the entire facility?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q How did you tour it?
- 5 A Partially around the -- where the sheds were close to
- 6 the office would have been on -- you know, by foot.
- 7 But towards around the back area it's quite a big
- 8 facility --
- 9 Q Yes, it is.
- 10 A -- we would have gone by truck.
- 11 Q And what did you do during that inspection? What did
- 12 you look at?
- 13 A Looking at this form, it looks like I looked at their
- 14 nutrient management plan.
- 15 Q Where do you see that?
- 16 A Right here. Yes. If they had the plan on site.
- 17 Q Uh-huh. So it says that they had one. Does it say
- 18 that you reviewed it?
- 19 A No. But I would have. If it wasn't -- if I hadn't
- reviewed it, it would have probably been because it
- wasn't on site, and so the answers would have been
- 22 different.
- 23 Q And you had no guidance manual at the time you did this
- inspection, correct?
- 25 A I'm not going to swear to that, because I can't



- 1 remember if the 2006 manual, when we put that together.
- 2 Q How were you trained to do your inspection job?
- 3 A I came up to Whatcom County and went out with our lead
- 4 inspector, and they took me through three, four
- 5 inspections up in Whatcom County, and they came over to
- 6 Eastern Washington and we did an inspection there.
- 7 Q Do you remember what facility you looked at?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 0 Which one?
- 10 A Henry Oords'.
- 11 Q What particular guidance were you given with respect to
- verifying information from the producer?
- 13 A In regards to?
- 14 Q Well, let me ask this: Let's look at section II, line
- 15 7. It says the acreage LNMP was developed for 474.
- 16 A Came out of their nutrient management plan.
- 17 Q And then the current total acreage says 500. How did
- 18 you acquire that information?
- 19 A The producer told me what it was.
- 20 Q And same with line 8 in section II. Did the producer
- tell you how much mature -- what does MA stand for?
- 22 A Mature animals, so that would be the combination of
- 23 milking cows and dry animals.
- 24 Q The total animals on site was 10,700, correct?
- 25 A That's right.



- 1 Q So the LNMP was developed for 9,500 animals; is that
- 2 correct?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q So this is more than a 10 percent increase in the LNMP,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A It would appear so.
- 7 Q Did you make a recommendation to update the LNMP
- 8 because the number of animals was above the number of
- 9 animals that the LNMP was developed for?
- 10 A It does not appear to be so.
- 11 Q And section VI, second page of Exhibit 30, No. 2 says,
- "Are nutrient application records maintained?" And you
- checked yes. And then you have under years maintained,
- two years, correct?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q Is that a violation of the NMP requirements?
- 17 A So a nutrient management plan --
- 18 0 Yes.
- 19 A -- they have to have one --
- 20 O Yes.
- 21 A -- it has to be approved, and it has to be certified.
- Beyond that, they don't have to follow it.
- 23 Q So it's just for a suggestion?
- 24 A That -- you know, when I first took the job, I mean,
- 25 that was kind of an amazing thing to me. You would



- think that it was certified, that would mean it would
- 2 be implemented and it would continue to be implemented,
- 3 but legally that's not the case.
- 4 Q Is that still the case, in your opinion?
- 5 A That's still the case except for the recordkeeping. In
- 6 2009, we now have a recordkeeping rule -- I mean, a
- 7 recordkeeping requirement, so it's a violation not to
- 8 maintain records that demonstrate agronomic rates for
- 9 five years. The catch on that is that they have to
- 10 keep the records, but it's hard getting them to
- 11 agronomic rate.
- 12 Q So it says, for instance, in the NMPs that they're
- required to keep application records for at least three
- 14 years.
- 15 A It varies across every single conservation district. I
- mean, there isn't --
- 17 Q In the Yakima Valley, it's three years, is it not?
- 18 A I don't know. I couldn't tell you.
- 19 Q Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 25 from the
- 20 McCarty deposition, you see section 5.7?
- 21 A (Witness reviewed.)
- MS. MITCHELL: It would be more convenient --
- I can loan Ginny my copy and you can have yours back.
- 24 Would that work better?
- 25 MR. TEBBUTT: Either way is fine.



- 1 MS. KRISTENSEN: What page is that?
- 2 MR. TEBBUTT: It's page 20.
- 3 Q Do you see the mandatory recordkeeping section?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q So the requirement is -- actually is that they must be
- 6 made available to the Department of Agriculture and
- 7 maintained on site for five years, correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q So looking at the Exhibit 30, they only had two years'
- worth of nutrient application records?
- 11 A What year is that?
- 12 MS. KRISTENSEN: Objection as to time. It
- tends to misstate her testimony.
- 14 A So this inspection report?
- 15 O Yes. 2005.
- 16 A Right. That law did not come into play until 2009.
- And so this nutrient management plan reflects the law
- that came in 2009. And so for the first two years, it
- 19 was that they had to maintain -- they had to have three
- 20 years' worth of records. And then starting in 2011,
- 21 which this -- which this, I believe, is 2012, report
- they had to have five years' worth.
- 23 Q So are you saying that RCW 90.64 is just a guidance
- 24 legislation?
- 25 A No.



- 1 Q What are you saying, then?
- 2 A I'm saying --
- 3 Q Do the dairy producers have to follow that law?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q On the last page of Exhibit 30, you had a couple of
- 6 suggestions -- or at least one suggestion about
- 7 sample -- manure sample reported in pounds per 1,000
- 8 gallons.
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q Pounds per 1,000 gallons of what?
- 11 A Pounds of nitrogen or pounds of P205 or pounds of K20.
- 12 0 And P205 is what?
- 13 A Is phosphorus.
- 14 O And K20?
- 15 A Is potassium.
- 16 Q Did you follow up with Cow Palace to see if they did
- 17 that?
- 18 A I doubt it.
- 19 Q You doubt that you followed up?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q You also said that in the last line of your handwritten
- notes, "P levels need some attention."
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q What did you do to follow up about that?
- 25 A I would have put the next inspector, Eric Bair -- I



- 1 would have provided him some guidance to follow up on
- both of these things. You asked if I followed up.
- 3 Q So it would have been Eric?
- 4 A Yes. I'd already moved to Olympia.
- 5 Q Do you recall what guidance you gave to him to follow
- 6 up?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q When you say "P levels need some attention," what kind
- 9 of attention do they need?
- 10 A I think that they needed to recognize that their
- 11 phosphorus levels were above 100, would be my guess.
- 12 Q And what's the level of concern for you for phosphorus?
- Or at least at the time for 2005?
- 14 A At the time it was 100 parts per million.
- 15 O Has that concern level changed since then?
- 16 A No. The only difference that may have -- that I think
- 17 needs to be thought about is that -- or considered is
- that phosphorus is normally attached to the sediment,
- and so you worry about it running off the fields. But
- 20 most of the fields in the Yakima Valley have berms
- 21 around them and they contain the phosphorus
- levels -- or they contain the manure inside the field.
- 23 Q Most of them, but that's a situation that's in flux.
- They're not always perfect, are they?
- 25 A No.



- 1 Q Erosion causes problems with those berms, right, and
- erosion is caused by what factors?
- 3 A Water.
- 4 Q Wind?
- 5 A Wind.
- 6 Q Equipment?
- 7 A Could be.
- 8 Q So you inspect -- in general, in your nutrient
- 9 management program you inspect a facility once every 18
- to 22 months; is that correct?
- 11 A That is the program's goal. For routine -- for routine
- inspections. So there's other inspections that
- are -- that may occur in between there.
- 14 Q And those would be complaint-driven inspections.
- 15 A Or follow-up.
- 16 Q If there was a problem that you believed from a prior
- inspection at a certain level of concern, then you
- would follow up?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q What level of concern does it take to do follow-up?
- 21 A So if they have issues with their collection conveyance
- or storage of the manure, elevated soil tests, I might
- follow up instead of waiting two years, try to get back
- 24 that next fall.
- 25 Q Like for instance, P levels of concern?



- 1 A Well, I probably wouldn't do that for P levels, but
- 2 nitrogen levels.
- 3 Q Nitrogen only?
- 4 A Predominantly.
- 5 Q And what level is your concern for nitrogen? What
- 6 would cause you to follow up in shorter order than the
- 7 normal 18- to 22-month routine?
- 8 A Consistent values from one field at 30 parts per
- 9 million -- above 30 parts per million. So, I mean,
- 10 that's not a perfect --
- 11 Q Can you also determine that in pounds per acre, or --
- 12 A Sure.
- 13 Q What's the formula you use to convert --
- 14 A Ballpark, it's 3.5.
- 15 0 3.5 times the parts-per-million nitrate to pounds per
- 16 acre?
- 17 A Right. So 105.
- 18 O So is that the same level for the Eastside as the
- 19 Westside, the same formally is 3.5?
- 20 A It's a ballpark. If you have bulk density, you get a
- 21 little bit closer to it.
- 22 (Exhibit No. 31 marked for identification.)
- MS. KRISTENSEN: Same objection on
- 24 confidentiality.
- 25 Q Ms. Prest, do you recognize the handwriting on Exhibit



- 1 31?
- 2 A Yes, I do. It's mine.
- 3 Q And that's a -- what kind of an inspection is that?
- 4 A It is a technical assistance.
- 5 Q And this is approximately less than two months after
- 6 the -- your first inspection of the Cow Palace
- 7 property, correct?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q And this one has both an arrival time and a departure
- 10 time?
- 11 A Yes, it does.
- 12 Q What was the purpose of the technical assistance visit?
- 13 A So it appears that they were making an irrigation
- application, and that it was resulting in pooling in a
- low spot of the field.
- 16 Q Who made the technical assistance request?
- 17 A I don't think anyone made the technical assistance
- 18 request. That doesn't --
- 19 Q How would you have come to the facility? Just on your
- 20 own?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Just stopped by to provide technical assistance out of
- 23 the blue or -- that's not something that you normally
- 24 do.
- 25 A Well, now I'm just recollecting, okay.



- 1 Q Sure. We're doing the best we can. It was eight years
- 2 ago?
- 3 A I'm doing the best I can. And I would really love to
- 4 be able to look at the records, the weather records,
- 5 whether it was snowing. But there was a time
- 6 that -- you know, it was cold weather, some freezing,
- 7 some snow, and I was doing what they call surveillance
- 8 and driving around and looking to see what was going on
- 9 in the dairies in terms of land applications. This was
- something that, you know, wasn't done all the time, so
- I stopped and I provided them some technical
- 12 assistance.
- 13 Q And is it typical to see irrigation going on in the
- 14 middle of the winter?
- 15 MS. KRISTENSEN: Objection. Vague. Calls
- 16 for speculation.
- 17 O Go ahead and answer.
- 18 A It wasn't that winter. If this is the winter I'm
- thinking, it wasn't. This wasn't the only place I
- 20 stopped to provide technical assistance.
- 21 Q Right. But my question was: Is it typical to see
- irrigation occurring in the middle of the winter?
- 23 A I don't think it happens very often at all now,
- 24 Charlie.
- 25 Q Did it happen more regularly back then?



- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And this was irrigation application not of well water,
- 3 but of manure water, correct?
- 4 A That would be speculation on my part.
- 5 Q Do you have any idea looking at this document whether
- it was manure irrigation that was occurring?
- 7 A I really don't.
- 8 Q Why would they be irrigating in the middle of the
- 9 winter?
- 10 MS. KRISTENSEN: Objection. Calls for
- 11 speculation. Lack of foundation.
- 12 A I can't answer that question, Charlie.
- 13 Q Did you ask them that question during this inspection?
- 14 A I can only -- I'm reading this, and I'm trying to match
- up what I felt like was an issue going on in the early
- 16 days.
- 17 Q Right.
- 18 A And then, you know, the one part of me goes, Well, you
- 19 know, irrigation water is turned off on X amount of
- 20 days, but -- I mean, there's no irrigation running.
- 21 But I think they have access to wells, and so they may
- have wells that they could irrigate from.
- 23 Q They could certainly irrigate from their manure ponds,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A They certainly could. But I don't -- I don't have



- anything on here that can -- that I can definitively
- answer.
- 3 Q Can you recollect whether the water that was coming out
- 4 of the irrigation systems was brown or clear?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q You didn't ask them whether it was coming from a well
- 7 or a pond?
- 8 A I'm sure that I was making the assumption that it was
- 9 manure. But clearly I don't have anything here that...
- 10 (Exhibit No. 32 marked for identification.)
- 11 MS. KRISTENSEN: Same confidentiality
- 12 objection.
- 13 Q Ms. Prest, this was an exhibit of the Cow Palace
- nutrient management plan, the present one, from
- 15 Appendix B, and it's just the excerpts of the -- well,
- one of them is the first page after the appendix list.
- What's present?
- 18 A The annual available nutrient values after losses.
- 19 Q The first one is the nutrient utilization worksheet,
- 20 but the ones that I'm most interested in start on page
- 21 514 and run through 520.
- 22 A I don't see the page numbers.
- 23 Q Sorry. Down at the very bottom. It's COWPAL 000514
- 24 through 520.
- Do you see those?



- 1 A I do.
- 2 Q These are irrigation water management worksheets,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A It does appear.
- 5 Q And have you reviewed Cow Palace's DNMP in the past?
- 6 A This one? No.
- 7 Q But this is a typical irrigation water management
- 8 worksheet, isn't, for a dairy?
- 9 A I think it has the components that go into a
- 10 typical -- this may be an NRCS practice.
- 11 Q And the irrigation schedule denoted on page 514 shows
- irrigation happening from April through October,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A On 514 I show from May through September.
- 15 Q All right. There was no irrigation in April. But
- 16 there is --
- 17 A Well, it's a schedule.
- 18 Q Right.
- 19 A So it's -- I mean, it's kind of like a plan.
- 20 Q But that indicates that irrigation is not to occur from
- November through March, doesn't it?
- 22 A No. To me it just looks like a plan. I mean, if they
- have a crop in the field during the winter, which I am
- assuming they would, but it does not -- we'd have to go
- 25 back to field 6 and look at the -- what they planned



- 1 for.
- 2 0 Is field 6 on here?
- 3 A Hold on. Well, first of all, we'd have to know what
- 4 cropping system this is for -- for either one of these
- is for. I can't tell off the bat.
- 6 0 Let's move on.
- 7 A But if this is for their tree fruit, this is probably a
- 8 reasonable irrigation schedule for their tree fruit.
- 9 Q For tree fruit. Oh, I see, from April to October?
- 10 A I see they have tree fruit. So it may be for that.
- 11 Charlie, are we done with this?
- 12 Q Yes. So during your time as lead inspector, you would
- still go out into the field and inspect various
- 14 facilities; is that correct?
- 15 A That's correct. I had a region, so all of southwest
- region and Western Washington and still went all over
- the state.
- 18 (Exhibit No. 33 marked for identification.)
- 19 MS. KRISTENSEN: Same objection on
- 20 confidentiality.
- 21 MR. TEBBUTT: I note that there's no
- confidentiality for page 437, just 438 and 439.
- 23 Q Handing you -- you have in front of you Exhibit 33.
- You conducted an inspection on October 23, 2008, of Cow
- 25 Palace, correct?



1 Α Correct. 2 And why did you conduct that inspection rather than 3 someone else in your office? We -- the program was doing some emphasis on just 4 Α 5 lagoons in general, so taking a look at whether they 6 were -- sort of like an informal look at how they were managing the lagoons, how they were going into winter. 7 8 We started -- you know, this emphasis started up in 9 Whatcom County, because we were having lots of 10 instances with -- strike that, please. Not lots, but 11 several instances of land applications that happened 12 early because they didn't have adequate storage capacity. So we -- we're trying to figure out -- not 13 14 Eastern Washington, in Western Washington. So we were 15 trying to figure out whether they had adequate storage 16 facility and they didn't go into winter properly 17 prepared or if they needed more storage. 18 So we did that on the west side of the state and 19 in 2008. We thought, well, this is such a great idea, 20 and we'll go over to Eastern Washington, not thinking about the double cropping that happens over there. So 21 22 this was --23 Does double cropping happen in all facilities on all fields in the Eastside? 24 25 I would not say that. Α



- 1 Q And you -- on that -- on October 23, 2008, what did you
- 2 do?
- 3 A So this would have been a walk-through evaluation of
- 4 all of their liquid storage, so all of their lagoons.
- 5 And as you can see back here, this is sort of a -- talk
- 6 about each lagoon, how much -- you know, how much
- 7 manure was in it, what the solids...
- 8 Q And you came to a conclusion that there were -- that
- 9 all of the lagoons were at approximately 25 percent
- 10 full, correct?
- 11 A What this tells me is that they were in excellent
- shape.
- 13 Q But my question was about how much --
- 14 A 25 percent.
- 15 O -- manure was in there?
- 16 A Well, they had 25 percent of the liquid. I mean, they
- 17 have tons of storage.
- 18 Q So but when you're going into winter, you want to be
- down as close to zero as possible, don't you?
- 20 A Not necessarily. I mean, we could look at their
- 21 nutrient management plan and go back to these waste
- calculation sheets, and it will tell you how much
- 23 months' storage they have. So it's all about managing
- 24 storage.
- 25 Q What's the minimum amount of time that they need so



- 1 have storage for going into winter?
- 2 A In Eastern Washington?
- 3 0 Yes.
- 4 A I do not know what they plan for, but we see it as
- 5 little as four months in Western Washington and we see
- 6 it as much as 18 months.
- 7 Q Eighteen months?
- 8 A Yeah.
- 9 Q In Western Washington?
- 10 A In Western Washington.
- 11 Q In Eastern Washington, it's, what, 120 days, 180 days?
- 12 A I don't think they have a -- that's nothing specified.
- 13 Q And did it say on Exhibit 33 how long you were there?
- 14 A No.
- 15 O How long do you typically spend on lagoon inspections?
- 16 A It would depend on how many lagoons they have, where
- they were located. I would say, ballpark, maybe 15
- minutes per lagoon. Looks to me like one, two, three,
- 19 four, five, six. And West Arms is off site, I believe,
- so that's seven, eight. So that calculates up to about
- 21 two hours, but I would be surprised if it took that
- long.
- 23 Q Do you recall whether you walked or drove around to
- look at the --
- 25 A The ones that were off site? I'm sure we drove.



- 1 Q Just all of them. Okay.
- 2 Handing you what's been marked previously as
- 3 Exhibit 25, I'm showing you page 487. That's down in
- 4 the lower right-hand corner. It's page 21 of the Cow
- 5 Palace nutrient management plan. Do you see the last
- 6 paragraph that starts off -- an annual report
- 7 summarizing discharges, et cetera?
- 8 A Um-hmm.
- 9 Q Have you ever seen an annual report from any of the
- 10 dairies on the Eastside?
- 11 A I have seen a couple that were associated with CAPO
- 12 NPDES permit. So I don't know if that's a holdover
- from when they were permitted. Didn't they used to be
- 14 permitted?
- 15 O I'm not sure. The record will have to reflect that.
- So my question is: Have you ever seen an annual
- 17 report for Cow Palace?
- 18 A Boy, I can't -- I can't answer that question.
- 19 Q How about for Bosma Dairy? Have you ever seen an
- 20 annual report for Bosma Dairy?
- 21 A Can't answer that question. Not reliable. I could
- look at the files to see if they were providing annual
- reports as a part of the CAPO NPDES permit in that
- 24 file.
- 25 Q But this is the dairy nutrient management plan.



- 1 A I understand that.
- 2 Q So if they had an annual report as part of the dairy
- 3 nutrient management plan, it would be in your file,
- 4 right?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Not necessarily?
- 7 A They're not required to provide that to us.
- 8 Q Just to inspectors?
- 9 A They're not required to provide it even to the
- inspectors. If they had this, it might be available
- for review while they were on site, but these annual
- reports for summarizing and providing that, generally
- is something that would be a requirement of an NPDES
- 14 CAFO nutrient management plan.
- 15 O Once again, I'm going to show you page 20, which is
- 16 COWPAL 000486, the section 5.7, mandatory
- 17 recordkeeping. That section that we just discussed is
- part of section 5.7, mandatory recordkeeping, isn't it?
- 19 A It is part of that section.
- 20 Q Moving on to -- oh, while we're on Cow Palace, who do
- 21 you know at Cow Palace?
- 22 A I've met Mr. Dolsen.
- 23 O Which Mr. Dolsen?
- 24 A Bob Dolsen. I've met Adam Dolsen during an inspection.
- 25 But he's been -- he wasn't at the dairy the last time I



- 1 was there.
- 2 Q When was the last time you were there?
- 3 A Last fall.
- 4 Q Who did you see at the dairy last time you were there?
- 5 A Bob Dolsen and Jeff Boivin.
- 6 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Boivin and Mr. Dolsen
- 7 last fall?
- 8 A Actually was taking my boss, the director, around to
- 9 show him around.
- 10 Q And how much time did you spend there and what did you
- 11 talk about?
- 12 A We probably spent 45 minutes, and it wasn't -- it
- wasn't a place -- I mean, it wasn't a visit for me to
- talk to them. It was a visit for my new boss to get to
- know some of the dairies in Eastern Washington.
- 16 Q What did you do while you were there?
- 17 A We toured their composting facility, took a look at
- 18 that.
- 19 Q The one on the north end of the property over towards
- the canal?
- 21 A The one on the north end of the proper- -- the dairy
- proper, so the main facility, behind the animal
- housing.
- 24 Q What else did you do?
- 25 A That's about all we did there.



- 1 Q Didn't take him around to all the lagoons and any of
- 2 the application fields?
- 3 A No. We weren't there for an inspection.
- 4 Q Do you recall what was discussed?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Anything particular about any upcoming permits?
- 7 A No. I didn't know there were any upcoming permits.
- 8 Unless you're talking about the CAFO permit.
- 9 Q The CAFO permit, yes.
- 10 A I don't think we discussed that. We had a couple
- vehicles. I was riding with Jeff, my boss, his policy
- 12 assistant, and I believe it was Bob Dolsen and -- we
- didn't have enough room to put everybody in one.
- 14 Q Was there any discussion about the EPA legal action?
- 15 A There wasn't discussion about EPA legal action, per se.
- There was discussion about the amount of money that it
- 17 cost to do the monitoring efforts.
- 18 Q What kind of monitoring efforts?
- 19 A I believe what is requiring them to do is ground water
- 20 monitoring and some additional soil testing and other
- things.
- 22 Q And how much money was being discussed, the cost?
- 23 A I couldn't tell you that. I don't know.
- 24 Q Thousands?
- 25 A I don't know.



- 1 0 Hundreds of thousands?
- 2 A Don't remember.
- 3 Q Was it Bob Dolsen who was doing the discussion about
- 4 the cost?
- 5 A I don't remember that either.
- 6 Q What do you remember from the conversation?
- 7 A Just that it costs a lot of money for them to do
- 8 monitoring efforts.
- 9 Q Was there any discussion about the cases that are
- 10 pending that we're asking you about now, the citizen
- 11 suits?
- 12 A I don't think so.
- 13 Q In your past dealings with Cow Palace, who else have
- 14 you dealt with?
- 15 A Dirk Porter.
- 16 0 Who is he?
- 17 A He worked for Cow Palace in the early, you know, 2005.
- I'm not even sure -- exactly -- I can't remember if he
- was the one who was in charge of the manure management
- 20 section or -- I mean, it's a big facility, and so
- 21 different people do different things, or if he just
- happened to be the person that was in there the day
- 23 that I stopped by.
- 24 Q Was he Jeff Boivin's predecessor, do you know?
- 25 A I don't know the answer to that either, because I think



- 1 Jeff might have been around in those days too.
- 2 Q Was Mr. Porter present in the meeting you had in the
- 3 fall?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Just a few months ago?
- 6 A No. Because I did ask Jeff about him.
- 7 Q Do you have any notes of the meeting that occurred last
- 8 fall?
- 9 A (Witness shook head negatively.)
- 10 Q Anything in your schedule book?
- 11 A Other than there might be something in my calendar.
- 12 Q What other facility did you visit during that tour with
- Director Hover and -- who was his assistant?
- 14 A Julie Morton.
- 15 O Who else did you visit?
- 16 A George DeRuyter.
- 17 Q And who was present at the George DeRuyter Dairy?
- 18 A George and Dan.
- 19 O What did you discuss with them?
- 20 A We took a look at their facility.
- 21 Q What did you discuss with them?
- 22 A I didn't discuss anything with them.
- 23 Q You were present during the discussion?
- 24 A Yes, I was there, but I remember it was --
- 25 0 What was discussed?



- 1 A We were talking about digesters, different ways to use
- the manure, composting. That was really what we were
- 3 talking about, was to go over and see how these dairies
- 4 are managing their manure. George DeRuyter has a
- 5 digester. Cow Palace has a fabulous composting
- 6 operation. And I think that they do a pretty darn good
- job of removing a lot of nitrogen out of the valley,
- 8 and I wanted the director to see that.
- 9 Q Was there any discussion about the EPA enforcement
- 10 action or the citizen enforcement actions?
- 11 A No.
- 12 0 None whatsoever?
- 13 A No. Other than I found out that the director's cousin
- is Jan Whitefoot.
- 15 0 How did that come about?
- 16 A Talking about he used to work there during the summers,
- so he was just talking about his different relatives in
- the valley. We were just down by the Jones family
- 19 farm. Have you been there?
- 20 0 I don't know.
- 21 A There's a nice fruit and vegetable farm just down the
- road. The director spent summers with them.
- 23 Q What did the director have to say about Ms. Whitefoot?
- 24 A Just that she was his cousin.
- 25 Q Did the DeRuyters question him about that?



- 1 A No.
- 2 Q What other facilities did you visit?
- 3 A I don't think we went anywhere else that day. I don't
- 4 think we went anywhere else that day.
- 5 Q Are those the only two facilities that you visited in
- 6 Eastern Washington?
- 7 A That day.
- 8 Q What about the next day?
- 9 A Not with the director. The next day -- I didn't visit
- 10 any facilities the next day. I go over to Eastern
- 11 Washington through my regular work from time to time.
- 12 Q Just that you implied that there were other visits with
- Director Hover with other facilities.
- 14 A There was not. I'm sorry. I misunderstood.
- 15 O You had occasion to visit the Bosma facilities, as
- 16 well?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 MS. KRISTENSEN: Charlie, is this a good time
- 19 to take a quick break?
- MR. TEBBUTT: Sure.
- 21 (Off the record.)
- 22 Q You're still under oath. Do you understand that?
- 23 A Yes, I do.
- 24 Q As we were concluding just before the break, you
- 25 mentioned I believe it was fabulous that -- I think it



- 1 was George DeRuyter's digester was moving nitrogen out
- of the valley.
- 3 A No, actually, I was sort of talking collectively Cow
- 4 Palace and George DeRuyter, Bosmas and collectively a
- 5 whole bunch of other -- I think they're moving about 40
- 6 percent of the nitrogen out of Yakima Valley.
- 7 Q Why is that a good thing?
- 8 A Well, because it's going to other uses. It's going to
- 9 crop production up in Horse Heaven, it's also going to
- 10 soil amendment companies, going into nurseries. Sc
- they're taking the nitrogen out of a place where maybe
- they don't need it and they're putting it into a place
- where they do.
- 14 Q What indicates to you that they don't need that much
- nitrogen in the lower Yakima Valley?
- 16 A Well, probably because early on in the early days their
- soil samples I thought were a little bit high.
- 18 Q Early on in the early days. What does that mean?
- 19 A So 2004, 2005. When I first came to work for this
- 20 program -- if you remember, there's this transition --
- was at Department of Ecology. And they were coming
- over to Department of Ag, the same time frame that was
- going on there. I don't know if you remember this or
- not, but nutrient management plans were all supposed to
- 25 be certified by December 31st of 2003. And during that



1		time while they were developing the nutrient management
2		plans, approving the nutrient management plans and
3		getting them certified, there was not a lot of emphasis
4		put on recordkeeping. So one of the first things that
5		I noticed was that there was a lot of producers that
6		didn't have soil test records, they didn't have land
7		application records. So, you know, I come by this
8		interest in agronomy from my education and my career,
9		and it's I don't know how you can make an agronomic
10		application if you don't have all these records.
11		Now, I'm talking in general, here, not
12		specifically to these facilities. So, collectively, I
13		felt like in the Yakima Valley there was more nitrogen
14		than what they had what they could account for their
15		land base. And so that's part of the whole technical
16		assistance and sort of the shift on you know, we
17		started working on trying to get a soil test threshold
18		that was agreed upon between NRCS, the technical
19		assistant people, Land Grand University, and the
20		regulators so we could give them a target. They didn't
21		have a target before.
22	Q	And that's where the 30 parts per million that came up?
23	A	That's where it came up. And there was lots of
24		discussion going on with Ag and Ecology and all of the
25		other groups about whether it should be 15 or whether



it should be 20. And I'm just going, Hey, at least we 1 2 put something out there. Gave them a target and got 3 them started on it. That's not just the Yakima Valley. This is the whole state. We put that out there, the 4 5 producers can rise to the challenge and they can work on that. And they have. They've done a good job. 6 7 They're the only ones that are being regulated out There is no else looking at what's going on 8 there. 9 with the other farming enterprises. 10 What besides soil sampling can be done to determine the 11 migration of nitrogen? 12 Well, I think you can -- you can take -- you can take 13 water tests from wells and you can see where it's at. 14 But if you think about it, Charlie, it took a long time 15 to get there. A long time. 16 What do you base that on? 17 I base that on you take a foot of soil, you talk about 18 the amount of air spaces that are in there and then you 19 sort of calculate out idealistically -- I mean, this is 20 all just sort of putting it together -- how much irrigation -- what their irrigation right is, how much 21 22 they irrigate, how that can go. But with manure, it's 23 not quite that straightforward or that simple. I mean 24 you take a pound of manure, a pound of nitrogen and 25 manure, and only about 50 percent of that is going to



- 1 be available during the first year of the cropping
- 2 system, and then the next year another 25 percent, and
- 3 then the next year another --
- 4 Q Where does some of that residual go?
- 5 A You have to account for it in your nutrient balance --
- I mean, your nutrient budget for your soil.
- 7 Q Some of it goes below the root zone, does it not?
- 8 A It can.
- 9 Q Doesn't it automatically? If the roots -- if the
- 10 roots don't --
- 11 A Any --
- 12 Q Just a moment. Let me finish my question.
- If the crop doesn't take it up, it has no place to
- 14 go but down, does it?
- 15 MS. KRISTENSEN: Objection. Calls for
- speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.
- 17 A So nitrogen -- nitrate, nitrogen, okay?
- 18 Q All right.
- 19 A It's negatively charged molecule, so it's going to go
- 20 -- or positively charged. But whatever it is, it's not
- going to stick to the soil particle. It's going to go
- with the water. So if it's not used in that cropping
- root zone, you bet it can escape and it can go down.
- 24 Q It has nowhere else to go but go down once it's in the
- soil column and it's not taken up by the crop, correct?



- 1 A I'm not disagreeing with that. All I'm saying -- am I
- 2 allowed to finish this?
- 3 Q You answered my question.
- 4 A So does that mean no, I'm not allowed to finish this?
- 5 MS. KRISTENSEN: You can always answer. You
- 6 can go ahead.
- 7 Q If you want to continue --
- 8 A All I --
- 9 Q -- but you've answered my question.
- 10 A -- all I want to say is it's little bit shortsighted to
- just look at manure, from my point of view, because I
- think about a bag of fertilizer, and that is formulated
- to be delivered right now this year. And if it's not
- used, there's another source.
- 15 0 Okay.
- 16 A Thank you.
- 17 (Exhibit Nos. 34 & 35 marked for identification.)
- 18 Q Ms. Prest, you have in front of you Exhibit 35, which
- is an email from you to multiple people at the
- 20 Department of Agriculture.
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And some of the Dolsens, Washington Dairy Federation?
- 23 A This is the meeting I was talking about earlier.
- 24 Q Okay. And that meeting took place when?
- 25 A September 17th, 2013.



- 1 Q And you mentioned that -- earlier you mentioned Bob
- 2 Dolsen and Jeff Boivin were present?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Were any of the Dairy Federation representatives
- 5 present?
- 6 A No. Jay Gordon, Dan Wood.
- 7 Q Dan Wood. You didn't mention him earlier, did you?
- 8 A No, I did not.
- 9 Q Is there a reason you didn't mention his presence
- 10 earlier?
- 11 A I just didn't remember it.
- 12 Q So you --
- 13 A And Ted Maxwell is my boss, my direct supervisor.
- 14 Q And who is Jeff B.? Oh, Jeff Boivin.
- 15 A Jeff Boivin.
- 16 Q Bill Dolsen, was he present?
- 17 A Bill Dolsen, he was. Steve George was not there, I
- 18 don't think.
- 19 Q And what did Mr. Wood have to say about the
- 20 litigation -- the citizen litigation, if anything?
- 21 A You know, I don't remember that.
- 22 O Was it mentioned?
- 23 A It may have been, Charlie, but my whole thing was to
- get this group of people together, and I didn't -- I
- 25 mean, I didn't sit hovering around Doctor -- I mean,



- 1 Director Hover.
- 2 Q I'm just asking if you recall whether it was mentioned
- 3 at all.
- 4 A I don't remember.
- 5 Q Don't you think that would be a natural part of the
- 6 discussion?
- 7 A I'm sure it was, but I don't know that I was there. I
- 8 wasn't with them every minute of the way.
- 9 Q So impacts from the EPA consent decree were discussed
- 10 both with Mr. DeRuyter and Mr. Dolsen, correct?
- 11 A It was on the agenda, is what it looks like to me.
- 12 Q Do you know if that agenda came to fruition?
- 13 A We didn't sit down and have a formal discussion. So as
- I was explaining earlier, there were different
- vehicles. People were piled in. I have been working
- around these people for a long time. I was just trying
- 17 to get my boss to be able to -- my bosses to be able to
- get to know other people.
- 19 Q Did Mr. Wood or anyone from the Dairy Federation help
- set the agenda for this meeting?
- 21 A No, this was mine.
- 22 Q Did you have any discussions with Mr. Boivin prior to
- 23 this meeting?
- 24 A No, I did not.
- 25 Q About the meeting?



- 1 A I did not.
- 2 0 With Mr. Gordon?
- 3 A Possibly.
- 4 Q Possibly. Do you keep a log of phone conversations --
- 5 A I do not.
- 6 Q -- with outside people, people outside the agency?
- 7 A I do not.
- 8 Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 34. This is an
- 9 inspection --
- MS. KRISTENSEN: I don't have a copy.
- 11 MR. TEBBUTT: Sorry. That's because I have
- 12 them all here.
- 13 Q -- an inspection of the Henry Bosma Dairy.
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Well, it has multiple names: H&S, Liberty Dairy, Hank
- 16 Bosma, right?
- 17 A That's right.
- 18 O You have different ID numbers for these facilities?
- 19 A Doesn't look to. Looks to me like these were the
- attempt at -- so this is probably 9199, 9612.
- 21 Q So one for Liberty, one for H&S?
- 22 A Right. Because the parlors are what is labeled by the
- 23 ag ID.
- 24 Q And that's for the milk production purposes?
- 25 A Yes.



- 1 Q Not environmental compliance issues?
- 2 A That's right. If this facility were to have a CAFO
- 3 permit, because they have contiguous borders and they
- 4 share common storage and that they share common land,
- 5 they would be considered one facility. So we do the
- 6 same thing with mixed results.
- 7 Q So this is a routine inspection. How long were you
- 8 there that day?
- 9 A I have no idea. But I'd been working for them about
- one month and six days.
- 11 On this --
- 12 Q No question is pending. Just a second.
- 13 A I was going to help you figure out how much time I
- spent there if you have the last page.
- 15 O That's all we have.
- I notice in section II -- starting in section II,
- 17 line 7 and continuing into section III, there are a
- 18 number of -- a number of numbers blacked out.
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Did you black those out?
- 21 A I don't know if I blacked these ones out, but they
- 22 would have been redacted per -- at the time that -- not
- during this inspection, no, I did not.
- 24 Q You put the actual numbers in there?
- 25 A Yes.



- 1 0 When were these redacted?
- 2 A Probably from a public disclosure request.
- 3 Q Do you have any idea when?
- 4 A No. I don't know if it was --
- 5 Q In 2004 this information was not redacted from these
- 6 forms, correct?
- 7 A No. They wouldn't have been redacted until -- as it
- 8 sits in its native format in the actual inspection
- 9 report, they're not redacted in the official record.
- 10 But when this information is requested during a public
- 11 disclosure request, we're required to put in ranges.
- 12 Q And when were you required to put those ranges?
- 13 A I'd have to look when that passed.
- 14 Q It was after you started as inspector, correct?
- 15 A Oh, yes.
- 16 (Exhibit No. 36 marked for identification.)
- 17 Q While we're on the topic -- I should have followed up
- 18 with this earlier -- Exhibit 36 is an email from GDR to
- 19 you. That's -- is that George DeRuyter Dairy, is your
- 20 understanding?
- 21 A Yes, it is.
- 22 Q And came from Dan DeRuyter?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And it's an email from you to Bill Dolsen, Dan
- DeRuyter, cc'd to numerous other people, including the



- 1 Washington State Dairy Federation; do you see that?
- 2 A Yes, I do.
- 3 Q Does reading this email refresh your recollection of
- 4 what was discussed at both the Cow Palace Dairy and
- 5 George DeRuyter Dairy with your director and others
- 6 there?
- 7 A I don't think I have anything other to add other than
- 8 what I've told you.
- 9 Q What about the pressure in the dairy industry and
- 10 agriculture in Washington are under?
- 11 A I think they are under a lot of pressure.
- 12 Q What kind of pressure?
- 13 A I think that have a lot of pressure from regulatory
- interests. I think they have a lot of pressure from
- environmental interests. I think they have a lot of
- 16 pressure from economic interests.
- 17 Q Do you think any of that pressure is legitimate?
- 18 A I think that there is a good reason to take a look at
- them, but I think that some of the pressures are not
- willing to recognize the improvements that they've
- 21 made.
- 22 Q But there's still a problem there. You recognize that
- there's a problem there; is that correct?
- 24 MS. KRISTENSEN: Objection. Vague.
- 25 A I don't --



- 1 Q You don't think there's a problem with the dairy
- 2 industry?
- 3 A I think that the dairy industry has gone a long way to
- 4 make a lot of improvements.
- 5 Q Do you think there was ever a problem?
- 6 MS. KRISTENSEN: Objection. Vague.
- 7 A In my --
- 8 Q I'm just looking for your honest answer.
- 9 A I know. In my honest opinion, I will tell you back in
- the early '90s I thought there was a horrendous
- 11 problem.
- 12 0 What about in the 2000s?
- 13 A I think they've made a lot of improvements.
- 14 Q What are the improvements that you've seen made?
- 15 A I think they do a much better job with their agronomic
- applications. I think they do a much better job with
- their nutrient balance. I think that 90 percent of the
- dairies are meeting compliance and better.
- 19 Q What's compliance?
- 20 A They don't have discharges. They're making --
- 21 Q Discharges to what? To surface water?
- 22 A Waters out of the state. Yes, we're still having that
- 23 connection -- that problem making the connection
- between surface water and -- excuse me, about what's
- going on in the surface and what's going on in the



- ground water. There's 80 feet, for the most part, to
- 2 the shallow ground water.
- 3 Q And ground water is waters in the state of Washington
- 4 too, correct?
- 5 A Yes, they are.
- 6 Q So do you have a concern that the dairies are causing
- 7 contamination of those ground waters?
- 8 A I have a concern with some dairies.
- 9 Q Not all? Not all the dairies in Eastern Washington?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q What about lagoons? Are you concerned that the lagoons
- have a hydrologic connection to the ground water?
- 13 MS. KRISTENSEN: Objection. Lack of
- 14 foundation.
- 15 A I am not an engineer but I think that most of those
- lagoons have been built to NRCS practice standards, and
- 17 I think that the likelihood of them having a hydrologic
- 18 connection is limited.
- 19 Q So are you saying that you think the lagoons are
- impermeable?
- 21 MS. KRISTENSEN: Objection. Calls for
- 22 speculation --
- 23 A No. I'm not saying --
- MS. KRISTENSEN: Hold on, please. This is an
- important line of questioning, and I need to be sure



- that -- we're speaking over each other, and I need to
 be able to make an objection for the record after
 - 3 Charlie asks one of these types of questions, and then
 - go ahead and answer. I don't want to prevent you from
 - 5 answering, but I just need the opportunity to make that
 - 6 objection for the record.
 - 7 So my objection is lack of foundation, calls for
 - 8 speculation.
 - 9 Q Go ahead and answer.
- 10 A I don't have a professional enough background to be
- able to make that assessment. But I think even lagoons
- that have been -- just in sort of taking a look at
- things, even lagoons that have geotech-style liners,
- any kind of liners, so whatever you're lagooning up,
- whether it's manure or whether it's sewage, I'm not
- sure any of them are impermeable.
- 17 Q But lagoons built into the earth are clearly not
- impermeable, are they?
- 19 MS. KRISTENSEN: Objection. Calls for
- 20 speculation. Lack of foundation.
- 21 A I can't answer that question.
- 22 Q You spent how much years at the Prosser Research
- 23 Station looking at manure management issues at dairies,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A Not of lagoons. I'm an agronomist, so cropping.



- 1 Q Did you see after -- there were -- you probably are
- 2 familiar with a number of lawsuits that were brought
- against a number of dairies, including these very same
- four dairies in the late 1990s and the early 2000s?
- 5 A I have a little bit of familiarity of that, but mostly
- it was going to one CAFO permit meeting in Ellensburg
- 7 and listening to everybody yell and scream and
- 8 thinking, you know, this is all very interesting, but
- 9 not where I want to spend my time.
- 10 Q That meeting was about what? That was back during the
- 11 Clean Water Act cases?
- 12 A Yeah. Well, I don't know. I think it was Ecology
- bringing the first permit, the one that was maybe the
- 14 end of the '90s.
- 15 Q The '96 permit?
- 16 A Could be.
- 17 Q That was then superseded by the 2006 permit?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Which now we're eight years later into the next permit
- 20 cycle?
- 21 A They're getting on it.
- 22 Q You refer again in Exhibit 36 to the regulatory
- framework you guys are operating under. What
- regulatory framework do you think that is?
- 25 A That the dairies are operating under?



- 1 Q Yes.
- 2 A I think that they have pressures from Department of
- 3 Agriculture to comply with dairy nutrient management
- 4 program. I think they have Department of Ecology
- 5 pressures. They have Department of Health. There's a
- 6 lot.
- 7 Q Do you consider yourself a representative of the dairy
- 8 industry?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Isn't that part of Department of Agriculture's mission
- 11 to --
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q -- let me finish my question, please.
- 14 A Sorry.
- 15 Q -- to move along and support agriculture in the state
- of Washington?
- 17 A I work for a water quality program. That's our primary
- 18 goal. Our second --
- 19 O What's your mission?
- 20 A -- our second goal -- mission -- so our mission, water
- 21 quality.
- 22 Q Is Department of Agriculture?
- 23 A That's part of it.
- 24 Q What's your mission in the water quality division?
- 25 A To protect water.



- 1 Q Do you have a stated mission?
- 2 A I'm not sure I understand.
- 3 Q Do you have a written mission?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And that is available somewhere on your website or
- 6 something to that effect?
- 7 A Sure. You bet.
- 8 Q All right.
- 9 (Exhibit No. 37 marked for identification.)
- 10 Q Ms. Prest, I'm handing you a six-page document, Exhibit
- 11 37. First page is a letter from Steve Bosma to you
- about a recent trip. Do you see that?
- 13 A I do.
- 14 Q And that recent trip was -- if you look at the fifth
- page of that document, CARE 8563, does that refer to
- 16 your recent trip on April 8, 2005?
- 17 A No. I don't think so. I'm assuming it was vacation.
- 18 Q Oh, okay. So it's not referring to an inspection
- 19 report or something like that -- an inspection?
- 20 A He'd be pretty friendly. I'm pretty sure it was a
- 21 vacation.
- 22 Q So you must have had a chat with Mr. Bosma, Steve
- Bosma, shortly before receiving this letter?
- 24 A That would be my guess.
- 25 Q Were you following up on a request for information? Go



- 1 ahead and look at the whole document, if you want.
- 2 A Thank you. That would be what I think is happening, is
- 3 that he's following up on my follow-up.
- 4 Q You had asked for records that he didn't provide,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q So he's following up months later with that
- 8 information?
- 9 A Well, it looks to me like on April 8th I requested
- 10 specific records. And I asked for it to be in my
- office by May 15th. And it looks like I did not follow
- up until June 15th and he followed up on July 14.
- 13 Q Let's take a look at the third page of the document.
- Well, let's first look at the second page of the
- document, which is 8560. It says sample ID for pounds
- of nitrogen per 1,000 gallons?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Those poundages were, what, 11.8, 11.6, 11.9, correct?
- 19 A Of total nitrogen. So that's not nitrate.
- 20 Q Total nitrogen. My apologies.
- 21 A No worries.
- 22 Q The third page has listings of NO3 and at one foot both
- in milligrams per kilogram, which is the same as parts
- 24 per million, correct --
- 25 A That's right.



- 1 Q -- and pounds per acre?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q With your concern at 30 parts per million, the first 12
- 4 entries on that page all have levels higher than 30
- 5 parts per million, correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q And some -- and in one case, seven times higher than
- 8 that number, correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Than your level of concern?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Did you follow up with any letter expressing, then, the
- concerns about the high nitrogen levels?
- 14 A I don't see it there in these documents.
- 15 (Exhibit No. 38 marked for identification.)
- 16 Q Another inspection of the Bosma and Liberty Dairies,
- 17 correct, by you?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q And the second page, this one indicates that you were
- there for an hour and 15 minutes, correct?
- 21 A Okay. Yes.
- 22 Q This inspection report indicates that approximately
- 23 40 -- the lagoons were approximately 45 percent full,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A Correct.



- 1 Q Do you consider that to be fair -- I'm sorry, do you
- 2 consider that to be proper capacity management?
- 3 A I wasn't truly worried about that. They still had
- 4 triticale crops that they could put their storage on.
- 5 Q And you made a recommendation on the second page.
- 6 A I'm sorry?
- 7 Q On the second page of the report.
- 8 A I'm just recording. It says currently --
- 9 Q On the bottom?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q "Recommend continued renewal of solids and liquid to
- land application to ensure adequate storage capacity."
- 13 A But it also says down at the bottom that they're
- 14 currently...
- 15 Q Okay.
- MS. KRISTENSEN: Can you read that last part
- of that, because mine is cut off.
- 18 A "Currently pumping to land application." But I'm
- 19 filling in the bottom of the...
- 20 Q It's your handwriting, correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q So you recognize what it is supposed to say?
- Who are you familiar with from the Bosma Dairies?
- 24 A I have met Henry, Jr., once, maybe twice. And I -- the
- times that I've been there, Steve is the one who has



- 1 taken me around the dairy.
- 2 Q Have you met Henry, Sr.?
- 3 A No.
- 4 0 Never?
- 5 A Not that I remember anyway.
- 6 Q Do you recall getting a phone call from one of the
- 7 Bosmas sometime in the spring or summer of 2013?
- 8 A I need more information about that.
- 9 Q It was particularly about a warning letter. Does that
- 10 refresh your recollection?
- 11 A No. Sorry.
- 12 Q Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 26. Does
- 13 Exhibit 26 refresh your recollection about a phone call
- 14 from one of the Bosmas?
- 15 A Honestly, no.
- 16 Q Mr. McCarty testified earlier today that you received a
- phone call from, I believe it was, Steve Bosma in
- 18 response to this letter. Does that refresh your
- 19 recollection?
- 20 A Honestly, Charlie, no.
- 21 Q And that's -- as a result of that phone call, you
- changed this letter to read something else.
- 23 A Okay.
- 24 Q Doesn't refresh your recollection at all?
- 25 A No. Is this the record?



- 1 Q That's the letter that Mr. McCarty testified caused one
- of the Bosmas to call you.
- 3 A And the change?
- 4 Q There was some change in the letter after that. None
- of that refreshes your recollection from six months ago
- 6 or so?
- 7 A Not from Bosmas, no.
- 8 Q Moving to the DeRuyter Dairies.
- 9 MS. KRISTENSEN: Same objection on
- 10 confidentiality.
- 11 (Exhibit No. 39 marked for identification.)
- 12 Q March 29, 2005, you inspected the D & A Dairy, correct?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q Did you know that this used to be also called the S & S
- Dairy before Dan DeRuyter took it over?
- 16 A I did.
- 17 Q How did you know that?
- 18 A It was in the files.
- 19 O Had you ever met Mr. Steve DeRuyter?
- 20 A Yes, he's down in Pasco now.
- 21 Q Did you ever inspect his facility down there?
- 22 A In Pasco? Yes.
- 23 Q And this indicates at the very -- in section I,
- question 3, "Is the farm covered by an NPDES permit?"
- 25 Answer was yes, correct?



- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q And that both this facility at the very bottom of the
- 3 page and the George DeRuyter Dairy are under the same
- 4 management?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And they shared manure management systems?
- 7 A They don't have contiguous borders. They do share some
- 8 land and they do share some facilities. So we still
- 9 maintain them as two separate. It's just a mere
- 10 technicality, but it's that sort of shared border of
- 11 land.
- 12 Q And then in your comments in section VI you talk about
- development of consistent soil sampling program, timing
- 14 and lab selection.
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Did you have concern that their soil sampling program
- 17 was inadequate?
- 18 A Must have.
- 19 O And it said "watch P levels"?
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 Q Is that correct? Do you agree with that?
- 22 A I'm trying to find the "watch P levels."
- 23 O In that same area, section VI.
- 24 A Oh, there it is, yes.
- 25 Q And then again on the last page, the last star is



- 1 "Develop consistent soil sampling program, timing --
- 2 after crop removed and prior to nutrient input,
- 3 parentheses, manure" --
- 4 A -- "or commercial fertilizer."
- 5 Q You asked them to develop a consistent program,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Do you know if they ever did?
- 9 A I can't tell from this inspection report.
- 10 Q From your recollection, you don't remember whether
- they've done good recordkeeping?
- 12 A No, I can't tell you that.
- 13 (Exhibit No. 40 marked for identification.)
- 14 Q Exhibit 40 was a letter indicating that you would be
- inspecting the facility in a couple weeks.
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q Is it Department of Agriculture's policy to give
- advance notice before inspecting facilities?
- 19 A Only for routine inspections.
- 20 Q But for all routine inspections?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And how much notice do you give?
- 23 A I think it varies. Sometimes, you know, I like to give
- two weeks' notice so they can get all their paperwork
- 25 together. But I think it varies across inspectors.



- 1 Q And you're reminding them about what information to
- 2 have available, correct?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 (Exhibit No. 41 marked for identification.)
- 5 MS. KRISTENSEN: Same objection on
- 6 confidentiality.
- 7 Q Exhibit 41 is the inspection report that you informed
- 8 the DeRuyters you would be having in Exhibit 40,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A Yes. And also 39.
- 11 Q 39 is the inspection of D & A Dairy --
- 12 A Thank you.
- 13 Q -- and 41 is the George DeRuyter Dairy, correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 O Hard to distinguish because it's the same person you
- deal with, Dan DeRuyter, correct?
- 17 A Right.
- 18 Q Line -- section II, line 7, acreage plan was developed
- for 570 acres. Current total acreage, 503 acres,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A Correct. But on this one, same producer. The plan was
- developed for 96 and he had 376 acres.
- 23 Q How did you distinguish whose was whose, whose land was
- 24 whose?
- 25 A I didn't worry about that.



- 1 Q How did you determine what land was available?
- 2 A What the producer told me. Same producer.
- 3 Q Of course. How do you verify that that information is
- 4 correct?
- 5 A The land?
- 6 0 Yes.
- 7 A Well, I didn't verify it.
- 8 Q And in the comments you said, "Work to improve
- 9 collection of application records, among other things,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And it says, "Watch soil test end levels"?
- 13 A Is this on the last page?
- 14 Q Also in section VI, Nutrient Application.
- 15 A So I am seeing "good soil test values for N."
- 16 Q Where do you see those?
- 17 A The first -- so section VI, second line -- the comments
- second line, starting with "good soil test values for
- 19 N. Work to improve calculation of agronomic" -- or
- 20 collation -- looks like "collation of agronomic
- 21 records."
- 22 O Application records?
- 23 A Yes. Excuse me. Application records. "Soil and
- 24 manure" -- missing word -- "test recently taken. Dan
- 25 to send copies."



- 1 Q Right. Then it says, "Watch" --
- 2 A "Watch soil test P levels."
- 3 O That's P. Just wanted to check.
- 4 (Exhibit No. 42 marked for identification.)
- 5 Q Ms. Prest, do you see Exhibit 42, session notes from a
- 6 Conservation Partners Lagoon meeting? Do you recall
- 7 that meeting?
- 8 A Vaguely.
- 9 Q Did you present at that meeting?
- 10 A I possibly could have on a -- yes. That's correct.
- 11 Q You did present?
- 12 A (Witness nodded head affirmatively.)
- 13 Q And what did you present about?
- 14 A I talked about -- so NRCS was this technical assessment
- tool that they thought would help sort of get at in
- evaluating lagoons. And WSCA took a small grant and we
- used the tool on the lagoons in the Puget Sound. And
- it really -- I mean, it really came out more of a
- 19 qualitative assessment than a quantitative assessment.
- I mean, we couldn't -- using this tool, we couldn't
- 21 determine whether the lagoons were -- well, what the
- tool ended up being is really to talk about if they
- were maintaining the lagoon, if they were doing the
- 24 proper operation and maintenance. And I think that
- 25 they had the idea of using this tool to determine if it



- 1 was leaking or not.
- 2 Q What was the tool? Was it a physical tool or --
- 3 A No. No. It's an NRCS -- it's a --
- 4 0 A narrative tool?
- 5 A Kind of a checklist. You go through and you fill it
- 6 out. You walk up the bank and it's this much slope.
- 7 You walk the width of the dike, and it's this wide.
- 8 You figure out the tools and then you talk about how
- 9 full it is; you talk about how many solids are in
- there; you take a look at if it's connected to more
- than one lagoon; what that part of the structure looks
- 12 like; whether they're doing good operation and
- maintenance; about the slope, where it can back down
- the agitator, those kinds of things.
- 15 Q It was all purely a narrative tool --
- 16 A Pretty much.
- 17 0 -- a checklist.
- 18 A So what I presented was I'd taken this tool up to a
- 19 very small dairy in Whatcom County that the
- 20 conservation district did not care for their lagoon, so
- I took it up there to use on their lagoon. And that's
- 22 what this is about.
- 23 Q What was your assessment of the tool?
- 24 A That I wasn't an engineer and I couldn't tell. I could
- 25 tell whether there was adequate -- I mean, whether they



- were maintaining it in terms of taking out the solids
- or maintaining the dikes, those kind of conditions.
- 3 Q So every -- was everything that came out of this
- 4 discussion based around the tool?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 O So the items we need to work on on the last -- the
- 7 bottom of the third page of Exhibit 42 running through
- 8 the end are all based on the tool, all these
- 9 recommendations?
- 10 A Right. But now, you know, I'm not presenting on all of
- 11 this, you understand that.
- 12 Q But my question was whether --
- 13 A This is my smaller part --
- 14 Q Hang on a second. The assessment tool was the topic of
- this entire meeting?
- 16 A Exactly.
- 17 Q So the recommendations -- my question is: Are the
- 18 recommendations that came out of this, the items we
- need to work on, all based on that tool, of trying to
- improve that tool, or was it more general about lagoon
- 21 seepage and problems generally?
- 22 A So are you talking about these bottom right here, this
- 23 bottom paragraph, Items We Have Agreed With?
- 24 Q Starts on 26492 at the very bottom --
- 25 A These recommendations.



- -- and running for the next two and a half pages. 1 0 2 Α Thank you. 3 So could you ask that question again? 4 (Question read as follows:) 5 "QUESTION: My question is: Are the 6 recommendations that came out of this, the items we 7 need to work on, all based on that tool, of trying to improve that tool, or was it more general about lagoon 8 9 seepage and problems generally?" 10 So most of this meeting was around the tool, and this 11 was at the very beginning. This was at the very 12 beginning of the draft of the tool. And so my 13 recommendation is this was all based on the tool. 14 Looking at the very last page of Exhibit 42, the third 15 item, "Develop action plan templates for high and 16 medium risk facilities," to be done by November 2011. 17 Was that ever done? 18 Α By? 19 Was it ever done? I think you would probably have to ask NRCS that. Α 21 The reason I ask is that at the top, it says
- 20
- "Milestones and Timelines," and your name, Ginny --22
- 23 that would be you, correct?
- 24 Α Yes.
- 25 -- are responsible -- among others are responsible to



- 1 complete that.
- 2 A Ginny is the lead inspector. Nora is the community
- 3 program manager, Sally and Larry are both engineers at
- 4 NRCS.
- 5 Q So you were part of the team that was assigned to
- 6 complete these tasks, right?
- 7 A That's right.
- 8 Q My question is: Did you complete the third task by
- 9 December 2011?
- 10 A Task No. 3, the outreach plan, developing and
- 11 identifying --
- 12 Q "Develop action plan templates for high and medium risk
- facilities." Did you ever do that?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Did you ever do the fifth one, "Sample testing of
- assessment tool with 50 lagoons"?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And what report do you have that shows the results of
- 19 that?
- 20 A Actually, we gave all of the results plus the small
- 21 database to NRCS. That was part of our agreement, that
- we would collect the information, we put it into a
- 23 small database, and we delivered that to NRCS.
- 24 Q Do any of these 50 lagoons include lagoons in the lower
- 25 Yakima Valley?



- 1 A No. They're all in the Puget Sound. And it just might
- 2 be worth noting --
- 3 Q Well, there's no question pending, so let me ask a
- 4 question.
- 5 Does Department of Ag still have the results of
- that assessment tool of the sampling of the 50 lagoons?
- 7 A I believe we do.
- 8 Q When did you first have contact with Jay Gordon from
- 9 the Washington State Dairy Federation?
- 10 A Oh, boy. That would be way back.
- 11 Q In your days at Prosser?
- 12 A I'm sure I met him when I was there at some point or
- another.
- 14 Q How often do you talk to Mr. Gordon now?
- 15 A Probably once or twice a month.
- 16 Q And have you talked to him about the CAFO permit that
- Washington Department of Ecology is working on?
- 18 A Certainly.
- 19 Q What's the substance of the conversation?
- 20 A When is it going to come out? What do we think is
- 21 going to be in it? He's seen drafts; I've seen drafts.
- 22 Q He's seen drafts? He's told you that?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q You've seen drafts. So have you made comments to
- 25 Mr. Gordon about what's in it?



- 1 A Only the piece around ground water -- not ground water,
- 2 excuse me. Soil test. The soil test.
- 3 Q What have you two discussed about the soil monitoring?
- 4 A Just the soil test level.
- 5 Q What have you discussed about it, specifically?
- 6 A That they're probably going to have to do more than
- 7 they're doing.
- 8 So have you seen the draft permit?
- 9 Q This is my deposition, okay? I ask the questions,
- 10 please.
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q What is recommended soil monitoring level in the draft
- 13 permit?
- 14 A The draft that I saw was 15 parts per million.
- 15 0 When did you see that draft?
- 16 A It was probably a year ago.
- 17 Q Have you seen any more recent than that?
- 18 A I have not.
- 19 Q Do you agree or disagree with the 15-part-per-million
- level in the draft permit?
- 21 A I don't know if I -- I don't have an opinion about that
- 22 yet.
- 23 Q Have you expressed that opinion to Mr. Gordon about
- 24 what your --
- 25 A That I don't have an opinion?



- 1 Q Whether you have -- let me rephrase it.
- 2 Have you expressed any opinion to Mr. Gordon about
- 3 the levels in the draft permit and whether they're
- 4 reasonable or not?
- 5 A That would not be my place.
- 6 Q That's not what I asked.
- 7 A I understand that.
- 8 Q I'm asking if you did that.
- 9 A If I have, I don't recall. I mean, not specifically to
- 10 talk about -- we've talked probably -- probably have
- 11 talked that the 15 parts per million -- but whether
- that's reasonable or not, that's not part of our
- discussion.
- 14 Q Did Mr. Gordon say whether it was reasonable or not?
- 15 A I don't remember.
- 16 Q Did he lobby you to try to raise the number?
- 17 A I can't do anything about it.
- 18 Q That's not my question. Did he lobby you to try to
- 19 raise the number?
- 20 A No. Mr. Gordon did not lobby me to try to raise the
- 21 number.
- 22 Q Did Mr. Wood lobby you to try to raise the number?
- 23 A Absolutely not.
- 24 Q Anyone in the industry try to lobby you to raise the
- 25 number?



- 1 A No.
- 2 Q Are you aware of any conversations between someone in
- 3 the industry and your boss, Bud Hover, about whether to
- 4 raise the levels in the draft permit?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Did you have any discussions with Mr. Gordon about
- 7 whether ground water monitoring was required in the
- 8 draft permit?
- 9 A I don't believe so.
- 10 Q Was there ground water monitoring required in the draft
- 11 permit?
- 12 A I don't remember that.
- 13 Q This is the work you do, correct?
- 14 A I understand that.
- 15 Q You don't remember whether that was in the draft permit
- or not?
- 17 A I don't remember whether that was in the draft permit.
- I was still sort of reeling from the 15 parts per
- 19 million on the soil test.
- 20 Q You wouldn't have been reeling if there has been ground
- 21 water monitoring required?
- 22 A No. I would expect that.
- 23 Q You would expect that -- you think that's the proper
- 24 thing to do?
- 25 A No, I don't.



- 1 Q Have you exchanged any written communication with
- 2 Mr. Gordon about the terms of the permit?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Have you exchanged any written communication with
- 5 anyone else in any of your sister agencies about the
- 6 terms of the permit?
- 7 A John Jennings.
- 8 Q How many correspondences have you had with him about
- 9 the permit?
- 10 A Gosh, I'm not sure, but not very many.
- 11 0 More than five?
- 12 A Can we put a time frame on it? You mean ever?
- 13 Q No. Since you saw the draft permit a year ago.
- 14 A Probably.
- 15 Q More than five?
- 16 A Probably.
- 17 Q And do you copy other people within your agency on
- 18 those communications?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Just be to John Jennings?
- 21 A John Jennings.
- 22 Q Not Tom Tebb?
- 23 A No.
- 24 O Not Bud Hover?
- 25 A No.



- 1 Q Have you had any conversations with anyone within the
- Department of Health about the proposed permit limits,
- 3 the draft permit limits?
- 4 A No, I have not.
- 5 Q How about any other agencies?
- 6 A I don't think so.
- 7 Q How about with NRCS?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q How about with WSU? Any professors or people who might
- 10 be providing scientific input?
- 11 A I don't think so, Charlie.
- So, I mean, this --
- 13 Q There's no question pending.
- 14 Have you had any conversations with the Northwest
- Dairy Association representatives about the permit
- 16 terms?
- 17 A Who are they?
- 18 Q Darigold?
- 19 A No, I have not.
- 20 Q Have you had any conversations with any of the
- 21 producers about the terms of the proposed permit?
- 22 A No, I have not.
- 23 Q Have you received any communications from any producers
- about the terms of the permit?
- 25 A No, I have not.



- 1 Q No phone calls?
- 2 A (Witness shook head negatively.)
- 3 0 No emails?
- 4 A (Witness shook head negatively.)
- 5 Q No letters?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q No, no, no? Is that correct?
- 8 A No, no, no. That's correct.
- 9 Q We need verbal answers. Thanks.
- 10 Do you know Bob Naerebout?
- 11 A I've heard the name. Is he -- I don't know the man.
- 12 Q Do you know what he does?
- 13 A I want to say he's similar to Jay in another state.
- 14 Q Idaho. Idaho Dairymen's Association. Executive
- 15 director.
- 16 A Okay.
- 17 Q Ever had any conversations with him?
- 18 A Not that I know of.
- 19 Q Have you ever had any conversations with Lori Terry
- 20 Gregory?
- 21 A Oh, I believe she was involved in a court case, the
- 22 CAFO permit.
- 23 Q Right. Have you had any conversations with her?
- 24 A Only in that case.
- 25 Q How about Patrick Ryan?



- 1 A I have talked to Patrick Ryan. It's been quite some
- time when he was -- when Henry Oords was still the
- 3 owner of his dairy. Patrick is his lawyer.
- 4 Q From Stoel Rives out of Portland? Pat Ryan?
- 5 A I don't know where he's from.
- 6 Q Did Mr. Ryan call you?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q About what?
- 9 A About Henry's facility.
- 10 Q What about it?
- 11 A I think you probably need to talk to Mr. Ryan about
- 12 that.
- 13 Q I'm asking you what your conversation was with
- 14 Mr. Ryan.
- 15 A I don't remember what it was about.
- 16 Q How long ago?
- 17 A I believe that Henry had a discharge in 2006 or 2007,
- so it would have been around that enforcement action.
- 19 Q Do you recall meeting Marcy Ogden?
- 20 A Yes, I do.
- 21 Q Did you go to her house?
- 22 A I did.
- 23 Q On how many occasions?
- 24 A I stopped at her house in Sunnyside several times. I
- 25 did stop and see her where she was working in



- 1 Ellensburg some time ago.
- 2 Q How long ago did you stop and see her in Ellensburg?
- 3 A Right after the agency started talking about ground
- 4 water and ground water protection.
- 5 Q When was that?
- 6 A 2008, maybe, 2009.
- 7 Q When you say "the agency," are you referring to the
- 8 Department of Agriculture?
- 9 A Department of Agriculture, Department of Ecology.
- 10 Q Because you said "the agency."
- 11 A Agencies.
- 12 Q Agencies. Pardon me.
- 13 A Sorry.
- 14 Q And you went to Ms. Ogden's house at one point?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And discussed with her what?
- 17 A She had made a complaint about a field that was behind
- her house and her well had high levels of nitrate, and
- so in those days, we weren't just following dairies, we
- were doing all livestock operations. So I went to
- 21 respond to the complaint.
- 22 Q And what did you do in response to the complaint?
- 23 A Took her information, took a look at her well.
- 24 Q Did you take tests, samples of her well?
- 25 A No.



- 1 Q Did you see sample reports of her water?
- 2 A She had sample reports from Heritage University, but I
- don't know if I actually saw a test, but it seems to me
- 4 that I did.
- 5 Q Did you tell her that her water was safe to drink?
- 6 A I did not tell her that.
- 7 Q Did she offer you a glass of water to drink and you
- 8 said the water is fine to drink?
- 9 A I did not tell her that.
- 10 Q She offered you a glass of water to drink, didn't she?
- 11 A I don't remember that.
- 12 Q Do you remember that you refused to drink it?
- 13 A No, I don't, Charlie.
- 14 (Exhibit No. 43 marked for identification.)
- 15 O Ms. Prest, you may recall we had a conversation about
- these documents in front of the Pollution Control
- 17 Hearings Board.
- 18 A I remember that.
- 19 0 You do?
- 20 A I do.
- 21 Q You remember that?
- 22 A I remember that. That's the only question you asked
- me.
- 24 Q I'm glad you remember that. And you were -- you had
- inspected the Ev and Hank Haak facility on August 17,



- 1 2005, correct?
- 2 A That's -- yes.
- 3 Q And, in fact, it wasn't any routine inspection. That
- 4 was a complaint-driven inspection, right?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And in the third paragraph of your letter, "On
- Wednesday, August 17th, I conducted a routine
- 8 inspection" is incorrect, isn't it?
- 9 A It appears to be.
- 10 Q Later in that paragraph you say, "Periodically
- 11 extensive cleaning of lagoons to remove solids is
- critical to maintain lagoon volumes for winter storage.
- While the practice is unsightly and creates unpleasant
- odors, it is an acceptable practice."
- The practice in this case involved the removal of
- a tremendous quantity of lagoon solids from a lagoon
- that was spread over many acres of a field in the Haak
- 18 Dairy, correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 MS. KRISTENSEN: Objection. Relevance. This
- case is dismissed. It's prejudiced. No reference to
- the Haaks in this case. I just object to relevance.
- 23 MR. TEBBUTT: Relevancy is reserved for
- 24 trial.
- 25 Q Is it still your position that what Mr. Haak did in



- 1 2005 in terms of laying out those acres of manure was
- 2 an acceptable practice?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q What changed your position?
- 5 A Probably two years' worth of follow-ups on this
- 6 facility.
- 7 Q But at the time you said it was an acceptable practice,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q So if you had the opportunity to retract your testimony
- from the PCHB some eight years ago, you would retract
- 12 it now?
- 13 A I would have answered your question, yes, that's
- 14 correct, instead of, no, that's incorrect.
- 15 Q Let me just take a short break here and I'll see if I'm
- done.
- 17 (Off the record.)
- 18
- 19 EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MS. KRISTENSEN:
- 21 Q I have just a couple questions.
- 22 Ms. Prest, again, just for the record, I'm Deb
- 23 Kristensen, and I represent each of the dairy
- 24 defendants that have been named in the lawsuits that
- 25 you've been appearing here for today.



- 1 If you could turn to Exhibit 31, just one of the
- 2 documents Mr. Tebbutt asked you about.
- 3 A Cow Palace?
- 4 Q Correct. There's a couple of different dates, and I
- just want to make sure I know what is the date that you
- 6 meant. Because on the first page, on the date of
- 7 inspection, it says 1/5/06. On the second page the
- 8 date is 1/5/05, and then on the third page they have
- 9 1/5/06, 1/6/05 on your signature. I know there's just
- 10 transposition, but I'm trying to figure out --
- 11 A No.
- 12 0 -- would be in 2005 or 2006?
- 13 A I believe this would have been in 2006, and the reason
- that I believe that is because when we had this form
- and when I was over there, I would prefill out the top
- parts, so that when I was on the dairy, I didn't have
- 17 to take time filling out a form. It was trying to be a
- 18 little more efficient with my time and their time.
- 19 Q So you think the correct, then, date is that date of
- inspection on page 1, for instance, 1/5/06?
- 21 A Right. And my guess would be it's January of the new
- year, and I was still -- got the old year in there.
- 23 O That's all I have of that document.
- 24 MR. TEBBUTT: That's it? You're done?
- 25 MS. KRISTENSEN: No. With that document.



- 1 Q If we turn to Exhibit 42 in your pile, if you turn to
- 2 the last page there.
- 3 A (Witness complied.)
- 4 Q Mr. Tebbutt was asking you about various milestones
- 5 listed there on that last page and whether they were
- 6 ever done and who did them, and you had a comment of
- 7 saying something -- it might be worth noting, and you
- 8 were cut off. So my question to you is: What were you
- going to note with respect to that?
- 10 A Well, in the end of March, I moved from the dairy
- 11 nutrient management program over to animal health
- program, and I came back about ten months later. And
- so this tool, this assessment and this tool was done
- over 12 months, was supposed to be done by December
- 15 31st. I came back, you know, January 31st and sort of
- 16 put that together.
- 17 And so as far as what got done when, all I know is
- that the form looked totally different than what it did
- when I left at the end of April, so there was a change
- in the form. But I know that there was 500 or so
- 21 lagoons that were assessed. So I started it and I
- finished it. But I was gone for most of it.
- 23 Q You were gone in the middle part, then?
- 24 A The big -- you know, nine months of the project I was
- gone.



- 1 Q Turn to Exhibit 34, if you could. I'm not sure if it
- 2 relates to this document, but my notes are during the
- discussion with Mr. Tebbutt, you made a comment that 40
- 4 percent of the nitrogen or nitrate --
- 5 A Nitrogen --
- 6 Q Nitrogen is going out of the Yakima Valley currently?
- 7 A That's the best I can figure.
- 8 Q What do you base that on?
- 9 A So in 2012, I sort of became aware of this sort
- of -- the exports that were leaving the Yakima Valley,
- and at that time, we were able to sort of talk to the
- 12 producers and we figured it was about 25 percent. So
- they've upped that, so that's what my assessment was.
- I have a little bit better documentation for the 2012.
- 15 I don't know exactly who -- what specific producers are
- going. I don't have names of producers, because a lot
- of producers didn't want their names, but they were
- 18 willing to provide the information.
- 19 Q So tell me about that. How do you collect that
- 20 information?
- 21 A Organics was taking a lot of that information, and we
- 22 did have some dairies that would tell us. But like all
- 23 the Organics, the people that were working with
- Organics, which is a company that handles compost
- 25 specifically, he maintained their confidentiality. But



there were several other producers that were willing to 1 2 give us their name and tell us how many pounds they 3 were exporting, because they are required to keep records of that. 4 5 In that same information, you made a note to 6 Mr. Tebbutt saying something about it was short-sighted 7 to just look at manure because of other potential 8 sources. Do you recall that testimony? 9 Α Yes. 10 What did you mean about it being shortsighted? What 11 did that mean? 12 So I think that history shows that there was a lot of commercial fertilizer that was also going into the 13 14 Yakima Valley. Commercial fertilizer is just 15 formulated and designed so that it can be delivered 16 during that crop year. And so the other thing is, is 17 that, you know, if you're not adjusting your fertilizer 18 practices based on what your crop yields are and what 19 your soil tests are, and if you're just doing it the 20 way you do it every year, I mean, that's the whole 21 shift we're trying to make regulatorily in the dairy 22 world, is to say stop. You've got to take your soil 23 test and you need -- the timing is so important, and 24 you need to do this before you're putting on manure. 25 You know, I think that this industry has come just



1		a long, long way, and I think they're just at odds with
2		getting any recognition for that. So they don't tell
3		their story very well, and we certainly don't tell our
4		story very well. I mean, I'd like to think that
5		Department of Ag was a piece of trying to get agronomic
6		applications and records on their farms, but it's
7		really the producers that have to decide whether
8		they're going to implement that policy on their farm or
9		that path. And I think they've done a good job. Has
10		everybody done it? No. But a big percentage of the
11		producers have.
12		We keep records of on the inspection reports
13		that we have now, we keep records of how many acres the
14		farm has that meets this sort of acceptability level or
15		needs improvement for most nitrogen and phosphorus. So
16		statewide, the way it's calculating up, is about 95
17		percent. But in the Yakima Valley, it's somewhere
18		between 85 and 87 percent.
19	Q	That are meeting that number?
20	A	Are meeting that number. So, I mean, there's 13
21		percent of the acres out there that still have to come
22		up to that, and I show that as really good progress.
23		What I don't have is back in 2004 and 2005, what I
24		don't have is good records to be able to show I
25		don't have an easy way to get at showing who had



records and who didn't. I mean, I remember my little 1 2 sister coming up to visit one November, and I had her 3 sit down and go through all my records -- she needed something to do to stay out of trouble -- and go 4 5 through and see who is actually keeping records. 6 could find that spreadsheet, I could actually put 7 something to it. 8 So I just want to understand what you just were talking 9 about. So when you talk about this 85 to 87 percent of 10 acreage in the Yakima Valley, is that just for dairies 11 or is that for all agricultural uses in the Yakima? 12 The only information that anybody has, specific 13 information, is about dairies. None of the other 14 livestock operations have any regulation. None of the 15 farms have any regulation. And so, I mean, really the 16 big piece is we don't know. 17 Is there any move by your agency to get at that kind of 18 information, to answer the question about we don't 19 know? Is there any move by your agency to get that 20 kind of information? 21 You know, all -- Department of Agriculture, Department 22 of Ecology Washington State Conservation Commission, 23 those three sister agencies have been talking about how 24 we can get at that or at least get at third-party 25 applications, something.



- 1 Q But nothing yet, is that what you're saying?
- 2 A We talk about it.
- 3 Q Mr. Tebbutt asked you about the mission of your
- 4 program. And the name of your program is?
- 5 A Dairy nutrient management program.
- 6 Q Can you remind he what your answer is? What's the
- 7 mission of that program?
- 8 A It's a water quality program that protects waters of
- 9 the state, but also supports agriculture.
- 10 Q Does your agency have any type of coordination at all
- with the federal side of things, with the EPA in terms
- of water quality issues?
- 13 A I'm not sure what you mean by "coordination." So we're
- delegated state, and so the CAPO permit is actually
- 15 held at Department of Ecology. So we do the
- inspections and we review the nutrient management plans
- for the CAPO permits, the ones that are there. We
- also -- if there's a discharge to waters of the state,
- 19 you know, like we had three, I think it is, up in the
- Northwest here recently, and so Ecology will ask us,
- 21 you know, for an opinion about whether they should be
- 22 permitted as CAPO or not.
- 23 Q Are you aware of the fact that each of the four dairy
- 24 defendants in these cases have entered into a consent
- 25 order with the EPA?



- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Do you know any of the details of that?
- 3 A I know from -- so I talked with Tom Eaton frequently,
- 4 as well, and so I know that there's some ground water
- 5 monitoring. I know that there's some soils -- soil
- 6 testing and some other things. But I've been asking
- for the soil testing, and I just haven't got to see
- 8 that yet.
- 9 Q Prior to the consent orders being entered by -- between
- 10 the dairy defendants and the EPA, did anyone at EPA
- ever come to you or your agency asking for information
- about water quality issues in the Yakima Valley?
- 13 A We gave them a lot of stuff. So we register the
- dairies every two years, and so we had put together an
- inventory of how much manure that might need, so
- 16 hypothetically the amount of manure.
- 17 It's all based on NRCS, their handbook, how much
- nitrogen that might mean, how much phosphorus. So we
- 19 provided that information that they asked for, animal
- 20 numbers that they asked for.
- 21 Q Were you involved in providing that information to EPA?
- 22 A I was.
- 23 Q Who at EPA did you deal with?
- 24 A Chan. Long last name. I couldn't --
- 25 O I've seen the name in emails too.



- 1 A Starts with a P. Eric Winiecki. One other person that
- 2 I can't recall his name.
- 3 Q And did you ever meet with folks at EPA?
- 4 A Yeah. That's the person. Eric Winiecki and this other
- 5 person came down to the Department of Ag.
- 6 Q Here in Olympia, or Tumwater?
- 7 A Yes. They wanted to review records, so it was a public
- 8 disclosure-type issue. So we have records of that.
- 9 Q Do you remember when that was?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Were you physically present for that meeting, or did
- 12 you -- were you just aware of it?
- 13 A No. I was in the office -- I mean, in the conference
- 14 room.
- 15 Q With those other folks?
- 16 A Yes. Eric Winiecki and whoever this other person was.
- 17 Q And what was the purpose of that meeting? Was it just
- 18 to review records?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Do you recall what records specifically they were
- 21 looking for?
- 22 A No. But it would be in the public disclosure request
- file.
- 24 Q Other than that one meeting you've just identified
- 25 where folks from EPA came down here, did you have any



- 1 other meetings with EPA?
- 2 A I talk to Tom Eaton probably every other week.
- 3 O Still do?
- 4 A Still do. Well, we're both involved in the work on the
- 5 Kalama in the Yakima Valley. We're both involved in
- 6 some of the agency discussions around third-party
- 7 applications.
- 8 Q Any further conversation with Mr. Winiecki?
- 9 A I haven't talk to Eric in a long time.
- 10 Q Other than Mr. Eaton and talking to Mr. Eaton, anyone
- else in the EPA that you've talked to and specifically
- 12 with respect to water quality issues in the Yakima
- 13 Valley?
- 14 A I don't know if I would call it talking to him or if he
- 15 was talking at me but Dennis McLerran.
- 16 0 When was that?
- 17 A The most recent was, I think, a couple of weeks ago,
- about three weeks ago. The end of January. I want to
- 19 say the 27th or so of January.
- 20 Q Can you tell me about that meeting?
- 21 A So EPA has been providing a lot of encouragement to the
- 22 state agencies to develop a plan to take a look at
- 23 third-party applications. So we were having a
- discussion about that, and basically we were in this
- 25 discussion about what Department of Ag can do, and I



- think Department of Ag can do a lot, but we don't have
- 2 the authority. Department of Ecology has the
- 3 authority.
- 4 Q Was anybody from -- who else was involved in that
- 5 meeting?
- 6 A There were people from Department of Ag. So Bud Hover,
- 7 Julie Morgan. This is the -- you've heard of the
- 8 directors talks, so it was -- Department of
- 9 Agriculture, Ecology, Conservation Commission, EPA,
- 10 NRCS.
- 11 Q Where did that meeting happen?
- 12 A In Lacey. And it was primarily around third-party
- application and other sort of water
- quality-related -- specific to livestock.
- 15 Q Has there been any further developments in that? Has
- anyone started developing a plan, a proposal?
- 17 A I think Department of Agriculture is pulling together
- the stakeholder group of the ag interest. And they're
- 19 going to work with the agronomic application interests
- 20 on that.
- 21 Q Are you involved in that at all?
- 22 A None of the other agencies are at this time.
- 23 Q You mentioned that the most recent time you met with
- 24 Director McLerran was back in January. Had you
- 25 previously met with him --



- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q -- on water quality issues?
- 3 A I mean, the whole group has been discussing this for a
- 4 year and a half.
- 5 Q A year and a half?
- 6 A Or so.
- 7 Q And when you meet the group, just want to make sure I
- 8 understand --
- 9 A So the directors.
- 10 Q -- of all the agencies --
- 11 A Right.
- 12 Q -- of Ecology, Ag --
- 13 A So Department -- EPA sent a letter to Department of Ag
- and Department of Ecology, and they had three things
- that they wanted the agencies to get worked out. So
- lagoons is one, how to determine that they're not
- 17 leaking; third-party application; and ground water
- 18 monitoring.
- 19 Q Do you remember when that letter went out?
- 20 A December 4th of 2012 is what's on the letter I have.
- 21 Q Have you, on behalf of the Department of Ag, been asked
- 22 to address any of those three things?
- 23 A Just to participate in a group. I mean,
- we -- there's -- I mean, there's several of us that are
- 25 working on it.



Is anyone taking -- I'm trying to understand how -- so 1 0 2 EPA sends this letter and they have three things that 3 they want these agencies to do. How are the agencies responding to that? Are groups formed? Are people put 4 5 in -- I'm trying to understand how they responded to 6 that. So there has been three or four different directors 7 meetings. Sometimes it's three directors, sometimes 8 9 it's five, depending on how that all works. So they 10 have discussions. They have discussions of ideas. Is there any kind of milestones or things -- or 11 12 timelines that have been established yet with respect 13 to any of the three areas that you've identified? 14 I think they always have something that they're going 15 to work on next, so I believe that that's one of the 16 things that Director Bellan is working on with their 17 add-in water quality. 18 I'm trying to understand. So without having seen this 19 December 4, 2012, letter, was there a specific time 20 frame that's requested that these things get done in, 21 or just rather we want you to start looking at these 22 three things? 23 I don't remember if there's a time frame. I'm sorry 24 about that. I mean, if it was, it couldn't have been 25 very many of them.



Do you know what, if any, efforts have been undertaken 1 0 2 with respect to the first item, the lagoons, and 3 whether or not it can be determined if they're leaking? 4 The only effort that I know of that's been put into Α 5 this, except for, you know -- I mean, I'm sure their 6 groups are discussing it, but the only thing I know 7 about is Whatcom Conservation District wanted to do a seepage study, and when we penalized -- when we collect 8 9 penalties from dairies, it goes into a specific account 10 that has to be used for either education or research, 11 so they submitted a grant proposal to us to do this 12 seepage study, and they're just about finished up with 13 that. 14 So they were successful in doing that -- they actually 15 did the study? 16 Yeah, they actually did one. They took a look at four 17 different lagoons up in Whatcom County and so 18 were -- they just provided this draft copy; they're 19 working on this finalized draft. We'll put it up on 20 our website as soon as it's done. 21 Have you reviewed it? 0 22 Α I've reviewed it. But there were some things that we 23 couldn't tell what the design specifications were from 24 a couple of the lagoons, so we're just waiting for 25 them -- and a couple of other conclusions that we felt



- like they needed to sort of beef up a little bit so
- it's a little bit more understandable.
- 3 Q Do you have a time frame for when you expect a final
- 4 report on that?
- 5 A Yeah. By the end of next week or --
- 6 0 Oh, soon?
- 7 A Yes, soon. That's what they said that they would
- 8 provide us by then.
- 9 Q Have you had any dealings with a woman named Jennifer
- 10 McDonald from the EPA?
- 11 A From the -- is she --
- 12 Q She's an attorney with them.
- 13 A No.
- 14 O I am done, Ms. Prest. Thank you for your time.
- 15
- 16 FURTHER EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. TEBBUTT:
- 18 Q Couple of quick follow-ups.
- Do you have any notes from any of the meetings
- 20 with EPA and the directors?
- 21 A I don't think so.
- 22 Q Who takes minutes of those meetings?
- 23 A The Conservation Commission provides somebody to take
- minutes, but my understanding is it's only action
- 25 items.



- 1 Q You were talking about having numbers about some 85 to
- 2 87 percent of --
- 3 A Yes. That's off of our inspection reports.
- 4 Q Hold on a second. Let me ask the question first.
- 5 85 to 87 percent of dairy acreage is within
- 6 acceptable limits, is that what you were saying, for
- 7 acceptable nitrogen. Did I get that right?
- 8 A Within what we're calling this 35 to 45 parts -- you
- 9 know, below 45 parts per million.
- 10 Q Below 45 parts per million?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Where does the 45 parts per million come from?
- 13 A Our compliance standard.
- 14 Q Do you know who owns Organics Composting?
- 15 A I don't know who owns it. I know who I worked with.
- 16 0 Who is that?
- 17 A Russ Davis.
- 18 Q And do you know who his boss is?
- 19 A I do not.
- 20 Q Do you know if it's owned by any of the dairymen,
- 21 Organics?
- 22 A I don't think so. I think it was just recently sold.
- 23 Q To whom?
- 24 A Another company, and I don't -- I mean, this was just
- 25 kind of casual conversation.



You don't know who owned it before? 1 0 2 Α No, I really don't. All my dealings with Organics was 3 with Russ Davis. When you gave information to EPA, did they do a public 4 5 records request, or did you just -- was it interagency 6 cooperation? They did do a public records request, but when we do 7 public records request to agencies, we don't redact. 8 9 With regard to the acreage you were talking about, 85 10 to 87 percent, do you have that specific information 11 you were talking about, about whether acreage was 12 within limits for the four dairies we've been talking 13 about today specifically? 14 Α Yes. 15 So you do -- you have it dairy by dairy? 0 16 I can get it dairy by dairy. 17 And field by field? 0 18 Α No, I don't have it field by field. 19 That's all I have. 20 (Deposition concluded.) 21 (Signature reserved.) 22 23 24 25



1	CHANGE AND SIGNATURE SHEET			
2	I, the undersigned, VIRGINIA PREST, do hereby certify			
3	that I have read the foregoing deposition and that, to the best of my knowledge, said deposition is true and accurate, with the exception of the following corrections listed			
4	below:	ception of the follow	ing corrections listed	
5	PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20	D-1-			
21	Date	Signa	iture	
22		CARE v. Cow Palace,		
23	Cause No.: United States District Court, CV-13-3016-TOR, CV-13-3017-TOR, CV-13-3018-TOR, CV-13-3019-TOR			
24		February 25, 2014		
25	Return to:	-	Suite 2100, Seattle, WA 98101	
1				



1	CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	I, KYLIE HAMMINGTON, a Certified Court Reporter,		
4	do hereby certify that I reported in machine shorthand the		
5	deposition of VIRGINIA PREST, called as a witness at the		
6	instance of the Plaintiff, for purposes of discovery in th		
7	above-entitled cause; that the said witness was duly sworn		
8	by me; that the reading and signing of the completed		
9	deposition by the witness was reserved; that the foregoing		
10	transcript was prepared under my personal supervision and		
11	constitutes a true record of the testimony of the said		
12	witness.		
13	I further certify that I am not an attorney or		
14	counsel of any parties, nor a relative or employee of any		
15	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor		
16	financially interested in the action.		
17			
18	DATED this 20th day of March, 2014.		
19			
20	Kylie Hammington, CCR, RPR Certified Court Reporter		
21	Certified Court Reporter		
22			
23			
24			
25			



000486 51:16

000514 44:23

15:4

13:5,8 15:4,19 17:5 29:12 31:3 101:20

1,000 37:7,10 75:16

1/5/05 101:8

1/5/06 101:7,9,20

1/6/05 101:9

34:4

10,700 33:24

38:11,14

40:17

11.6 75:18

11.8 75:18

11.9 75:18

27:24 28:1,2 76:3 102:14

30:7 49:11

105:20

16:9 75:12

49:17 59:25 76:20 90:14 91:11 92:18

15-part-per-million 90:19

15th 75:11,12

29:22

98:25

17th 62:25 99:7

39:9 49:6

18- 40:7

49:11

10:6

15:14 16:11

1990s 72:4

16:14

1st 12:25 13:1 19:10

2 15:19 31:3,4 34:11

20 36:2 51:15 60:1

2000s 69:12 72:4

2003 58:25

15:7 28:17 29:12 30:3 58:19 67:5 105:23

19:12,15 20:2 24:14 25:8 26:22 28:17 30:20 36:15 38:13 54:17 58:19 74:16 79:12 100:1 101:12 105:23

13:5,8 15:9 17:5 22:23,25 23:2,11 25:16,19,21,24 26:21 28:7 29:12 33:1 96:17 101:12,13

96:17

20:14,21 21:1,14 22:1,7,16, 22 25:16,19 26:2 46:24 47:19 48:1 97:6

35:6 36:16,18 97:6

2010 19:9 20:21,25 21:4

36:20 87:16 88:9

13:2,5,8 14:9 36:21 103:9, 14 112:20 113:19

2013 12:25 13:2 62:25 78:7

6:3

50:4

22 30:20 39:10

22-month 40:7

23 46:24 48:1

6:3 35:19 48:9,14,16 50:3 61:2 103:12

78:12,13

86:24

27th 110:19

28 17:17,18,21 23:15

20:12,13 21:16,17,18 79:12

79:24 88:10

3.5 40:14,15,19

27:5,6,7,10,13,24 30:14,17 34:11 36:9 37:5 40:8,9 59:22 76:3,4

30-part-per-million 27:15

30-parts-per-million 27:21

13:5,8 15:9 40:22 101:1

31st 13:1,2 58:25 102:15

44:10

46:18,23 49:13

62:17 65:8 103:1

62:17,18

67:16,18 72:22

74:9,11

82:22

76:15

39 79:11 82:10.11

113:19

58:5 76:23 81:13,14 82:8 103:3

82:4,7,13

84:4,5 86:7 87:14 102:1

98:14

46:22

46:22

46:22

52:12 76:23

33:15

50:3

4th 112:20



5

5.7 35:20 51:16,18

50 60:25 88:16,24 89:6

500 33:17 102:20

503 82:19

514 44:21 45:11.14

520 44:21,24

5322 7:23

570 82:19

6

6 15:7 45:25 46:2

7

7 33:15 66:17 82:18

7141 6:3

75th 7:23

8

8 33:20 74:16

80 70:1

85 105:18 106:9

8560 75:15

8563 74:15

87 105:18 106:9

89 10:23

8th 75:9

9

9,500 34:1

90 10:23 69:17

90.64 36:23

90s 69:10 72:14

91 10:23

9133 31:10

9199 65:20

95 105:16

96 72:15 82:22

9612 65:20

98512 7:24

Α

Absolutely 91:23

acceptability 105:14

acceptable 99:14 100:2,7

access 18:11 43:21

account 59:14 61:5 114:9

acquire 33:18

acre 40:11,16 76:1

acreage 31:14 33:15,17 82:18,19

106:10

acres 82:19,22 99:17 100:1

105:13,21

Act 72:11

action 14:15,16,17 53:14,15 56:10 87:15 88:12 96:18

actions 13:14,16,25 56:10

actual 66:24 67:8

Adam 51:24

add 68:7

add-in 113:17

added 26:5

additional 20:10 26:5 53:20

address 7:19,21 112:22

addresses 24:8

adequate 47:12,15 77:12 85:25

adjusting 104:17

administrative 8:8 24:5

advance 81:18

advice 27:1

affirmatively 84:12

ag 10:16 11:18 19:8 25:1 26:18 31:1,6,7 58:22 59:24 65:23 109:5 110:25 111:1,6,18 112:12,13,21

agencies 29:7 94:5 97:11,12 106:23 111:22 112:10,15 113:3

agency 24:5 29:7 65:6 93:17 97:3,7,10 106:17,19 107:10 108:11 110:6

agenda 64:11,12,20

agitator 85:14

agree 6:24 7:7 80:21 90:19

agreed 59:18 86:23

agreement 88:21

agricultural 30:25 106:11

agriculture 9:5 12:4 14:17 15:6, 11 36:6 62:20 68:10 73:3,15,22 97:8,9 106:21 111:9,17

Agriculture's 73:10 81:17

agro- 27:16

agronomic 27:17 35:8,11 59:9 69:15 83:19,20 105:5 111:19

agronomist 71:25

agronomy 10:3,8,9 59:8

ahead 42:17 62:6 71:4,9 75:1

air 60:18

allowed 62:2,4

alternative 16:20

amazing 34:25

amendment 58:10

amount 43:19 48:25 53:16 60:18 108:16

animal 13:3 52:22 102:11 108:19

animals 33:22,23,24 34:1,8,9

annual 44:18 50:6,9,16,20,22 51:2,11

answering 71:5

answers 32:21 95:9

anticipated 6:19

apologies 75:20



appeared 20:20

appearing 100:25

appears 41:13 99:9

appendix 44:15,16

application 16:18 25:3 27:14 34:12 35:13 36:10 41:14 53:2 59:7,10 77:12,18 83:9,14,22,23 111:13,19 112:17

applications 42:9 47:11 69:16 105:6 106:25 110:7,23

approved 34:21

approving 59:2

approximate 30:6

approximately 29:19 41:5 48:9 76:22,23

April 12:25 13:1,4,8 15:4 17:5 45:12,15 46:9 74:16 75:9 102:19

area 29:15 32:7 80:23

areas 113:13

Arms 49:19

arrival 41:9

asks 19:14 71:3

assessed 102:21

assessment 71:11 84:14,19 85:23 86:14 88:16 89:6 103:13

assigned 15:1 30:25 88:5

assistance 19:3 26:16 41:4,12, 16,17,22 42:12,20 59:16

assistance-type 12:15

assistant 53:12 55:13 59:19

Association 7:12 94:15 95:14

assume 9:15

assuming 45:24 74:17

assumption 44:8

attached 38:18

attempt 65:20

attention 37:22 38:8,9

attorney 9:19

audible 8:19

audience 12:18

August 14:9,12 15:7 98:25 99:7

authority 111:2,3

automatically 61:9

aware 92:2 103:9 107:23 109:12

В

bachelor 10:3

back 12:10 19:12,15,18 20:1 25:8 28:18 30:11 32:7 39:23 45:25 48:5,21 69:9 72:10 85:13 89:10 102:12,15 105:23 111:24

background 8:17 71:10

bag 62:12

Bair 22:18 25:8 37:25

balance 61:5 69:17

ballpark 40:14,20 49:17

bank 85:6

base 59:15 60:16,17 103:8

based 27:16 86:4,8,19 87:7,13

104:18 108:17

basically 110:24

basis 26:12

basic 8:17

bat 46:5

beans 15:25 16:21

Beef 29:24,25

beefed 26:3

beets 15:25 16:21

begin 6:13

beginning 19:18 87:11,12

behalf 112:21

believed 39:16

Bellan 113:16

berms 38:20 39:1

bet 61:23 74:7

bibliography 26:6

big 32:7 54:20 102:24 105:10 106:16

Bill 63:16,17 67:24

bit 10:17 15:25 40:21 58:17 62:10 72:5 103:14

black 66:20

blacked 66:18,21

blanks 25:23

blue 41:23

board 8:15 98:17

Bob 16:10 51:24 52:5 53:12 54:3 63:1 95:10

Boivin 52:5,6 63:2,14,15 64:22

Boivin's 54:24

book 55:10

border 80:10

borders 66:3 80:7

Bosma 7:15 50:19,20 57:15 65:13,16 74:11,22,23 76:16 77:23 78:17

Bosmas 58:4 78:7,14 79:2,7

boss 28:20 52:8,14 53:11 63:13 64:17 92:3

bosses 64:17

bottom 44:23 77:9,13,19 80:2 86:7,22,23,24

boy 50:18 89:10

break 57:19,24 100:15

briefly 18:25

bringing 72:13

brought 72:2

brown 44:4

BS 10:24

Bud 92:3 93:24 111:6

budget 61:6

built 70:16 71:17

bulk 40:20

bunch 58:5



business 10:18 **busy** 21:10

C

CAFO 8:6 28:7 29:25 51:14 53:8, 9 66:2 72:6 89:16 95:22

CAFOS 29:21 calculate 60:19 calculates 49:20 calculating 105:16

calculation 48:22 83:19

calendar 55:11

call 31:18 42:7 78:6,13,17,21 79:2 96:6 110:14

called 16:20 26:15 79:14

calls 24:15,16 42:15 43:10 61:15 70:21 71:7,19 95:1

canal 52:20

canola 15:25 16:21

capacity 12:24 16:11 47:13 77:2,

CAPO 50:11,23 107:14,17,22

Cara 22:16 23:10

care 8:5 74:15 85:20

career 59:8

Cascades 29:17,18

case 6:23 8:4,7 35:3,4,5 76:7 95:21,24 99:15,21,22

cases 7:14 54:9 72:11 107:24

catch 35:9

categories 17:22

category 20:4 23:14 24:3,25

caused 39:2 79:1

causing 70:6

cc'd 67:25

Center 7:13

certified 6:4 34:21 35:1 58:25

59:3

cetera 50:7

challenge 60:5

Chan 108:24

change 79:3,4 102:19

changed 38:15 78:22 100:4

charge 13:22 54:19

charged 61:19,20

Charlie 7:11 42:24 43:12 46:11 57:18 60:14 63:23 71:3 78:20 94:11 98:13

chat 74:22

check 11:11 84:3

checked 34:13

checklist 85:5.17

chemistry 10:11

Chery 14:6 24:10

children 17:13

cites 26:7

citizen 56:10 63:20

class 11:17,18,19,22 12:5,11

classes 10:10,16,20 11:6,7 12:15

Clean 72:11

cleaning 99:11

clear 6:15.17 9:14 44:4

Clearwater 6:3

close 32:5 48:19

closer 40:21

cloud 18:15,17

cloud-like 18:15

cold 42:6

collation 83:20

collect 88:22 103:19 114:8

collection 39:21 83:9

collectively 58:3,4 59:12

college 12:13

column 61:25

combination 23:7 33:22

combined 26:17

comment 28:11,12,20 102:6 103:3

comments 28:19 80:12 83:8,17 89:24

commercial 81:4 104:13,14

Commission 106:22 111:9

committee 26:14.15.16 28:24 29:1,2

common 31:14 66:4

communication 24:12 93:1,4

communications 93:18 94:23

community 7:12 88:2

companies 58:10

company 103:24

complaint 97:17,21,22

complaint-driven 39:14 99:4

complaints 23:23

complete 18:5,10,11,12 19:17

88:1,6,8

compliance 13:13,16,25 14:16 27:8,12 66:1 69:18,19

complied 25:4 102:3

comply 18:1,24 73:3

components 11:21 45:9

compost 103:24

composting 52:17 56:2,5

concern 28:2 38:12,15 39:17,20, 25 40:5 70:6,8 76:3,10 80:16

concerned 70:11

concerns 76:13

concluding 57:24

conclusion 48:8

conclusions 114:25

conditions 86:2

conduct 47:2

conducted 20:7 30:20 46:24

99:7



conference 109:13

confidential 6:22 7:10

confidentiality 6:15 7:1,5 30:15 40:24 44:11 46:20,22 79:10 82:6 103:25

connected 85:10

connection 69:23 70:12,18

consent 64:9 107:24 108:9

conservation 26:17 35:15 84:6 85:20 106:22 111:9 114:7

considered 38:17 66:5

consistent 40:8 80:13 81:1,5

contact 89:8

contamination 70:7

contiguous 66:3 80:7

continue 6:25 35:2 62:7

continued 77:11

continuing 66:17

control 8:15 98:16

convenient 35:22

conversation 54:6 89:19 96:13 98:15 110:8

conversations 65:4 92:2 94:1, 14,20 95:17,19,23

convert 40:13

conveyance 39:21

coordination 107:10,13

copies 83:25

copy 19:24 22:4 23:11 35:23 65:10 93:17 114:18

corner 50:4

correct 19:9 22:2 29:13 30:12,21 33:24 34:2,3,5,14 36:7,8 37:9,20, 23 39:10 41:7,8 43:3,24 45:3,13 46:14,15,25 47:1 48:10 61:25 64:10 67:6,14 68:23 70:4 71:24 75:5,6,18,24 76:5,6,8,17,20,24,25 77:20 79:12,25 80:1,5,21 81:6,7, 16 82:2,9,13,16,20,21 83:4, 84:10 87:23 92:13 95:7,8 99:1,5,18 100:8,14 101:4,19

corrections 15:2

correspondences 93:8

cost 53:17,22 54:4

costs 54:7

counsel 23:13

counsel's 20:16

County 33:3,5 47:9 85:19 114:17

couple 12:14 19:2 28:16 37:5 50:11 53:10 81:15 100:21 101:4 110:17 114:24,25

courses 10:7 11:3,4,5

court 6:5 7:24 8:13 9:8 95:21

cousin 56:13,24

cover 20:25

covered 29:25 30:2 79:24

Cow 7:15 30:21,24 31:1,2,3,4,18, 25 37:16 41:6 44:13 45:5 46:24 50:4,17 51:20,21 54:13,17 56:5 58:3 68:4 101:3

COWPAL 44:23 51:16

cows 33:23

create 25:15 26:7

creates 99:13

credit 11:8,9

crew 13:15

critical 99:12

crop 10:9,10 15:24 16:8 27:18,19 45:23 58:9 61:13,25 81:2 104:16, 18

cropping 16:20 46:4 47:21,23 61:1,22 71:25

crops 77:4

current 33:17 82:19

cut 77:17 102:8

cycle 12:8,9 72:20

D

dairies 7:15 9:2 23:20 24:7 29:20,22 30:1,3 42:9 50:10 52:15

56:3 69:18 70:6,8,9 71:23 72:3,4, 25 76:16 77:23 79:8 97:19 103:22 106:10,13 108:14 114:9

dairy 7:15,16,17 12:23 13:6,17, 18,21,22 16:2,5 19:18 25:2 30:21 37:3 45:8 50:19,20,25 51:2,25 52:4,21 55:17 62:22 63:4 64:19 65:13,15 67:19 68:1,4,5,9 69:1, 73:3,7 78:1 79:12,15 80:3 82:11, 13 85:19 89:9 94:15 96:3 99:18 100:23 101:16 102:10 104:21 107:5,23 108:10

Dairymen's 95:14

Dan 18:3 24:10 55:18 63:6,7 67:22,24 79:15 82:16 83:24

Darigold 94:18

darn 56:6

database 88:21,23

date 15:14 101:5,6,8,19

dates 13:7 101:4

dating 19:12,15

day 31:25 54:22 57:3,4,7,8,9,10 66:8

days 43:16,20 49:11 55:1 58:16, 18 66:10 89:11 97:19

deal 82:16 108:23

dealings 54:13

dealt 54:14

Deb 100:22

December 58:25 88:9 102:14 112:20 113:19

decide 105:7

decree 64:9

deeper 28:22

defendant 30:12

defendants 23:24 24:13,22 25:2 107:24 108:10

definitively 44:1

degree 10:13

delegated 107:14

delivered 62:13 88:23 104:15



demonstrate 35:8

Dennis 110:15

denoted 45:11

density 40:20

Department 8:6 9:4 14:17 15:6, 10 18:14 19:8 31:1 36:6 58:21,22 62:20 73:2,4,5,10,22 81:17 89:5, 17 94:2 97:8,9 105:5 106:21 107:15 109:5 110:25 111:1,2,6,8, 17 112:13,14,21

departure 31:23 41:9

depend 49:16

dependent 27:19

depending 113:9

deposed 7:25 8:7,11

deposition 9:1,11 17:23 23:15 35:20 90:9

depth 27:23 28:21

depths 28:2

Deruyter 55:16,17 58:4 64:10 67:19,22,25 68:5 79:8,15,19 80:3 82:13.16

Deruyter's 58:1

Deruyters 56:25 82:8

describe 10:2 11:19 12:21 29:15

design 114:23

designed 104:15

detail 26:4,5

details 108:2

determine 19:11 25:4 26:12 40:11 60:10 83:1 84:21,25 112:16

determined 114:3

develop 13:15 25:22 81:1,5 87:15 88:12 110:22

developed 33:15 34:1,9 82:18, 22

developing 59:1 88:10 111:16

development 15:24 28:6,25

29:1 80:13

developments 111:15

dictate 12:10

difference 20:22 38:16

digester 56:5 58:1

digesters 56:1

dike 85:7

dikes 86:2

direct 63:13

director 14:24 15:2 52:8 55:13 56:8,22,23 57:9,13 64:1 68:5 95:15 111:24 113:16

director's 56:13

directors 111:8 112:9 113:7,8

Dirk 54:15

disagree 90:19

disagreeing 62:1

disagreement 7:9

discharge 96:17 107:18

discharges 50:7 69:20,21

disclosure 67:2,11 109:22

disclosure-type 109:8

discuss 52:6 55:19,21,22

discussed 7:14 51:17 53:4,10, 22 55:25 64:9 68:4 90:3,5 97:16

discussing 112:3 114:6

discussion 53:14,15,16 54:3,9 55:23 56:9 59:24 64:6,13 86:4 91:13 103:3 110:24,25

discussions 12:5 28:14 64:22 110:6 113:10

disk 19:7 24:11

dismissed 99:21

distinguish 82:15,23

district 26:17 35:15 85:20 114:7

division 13:4 73:24

DNMP 45:5

Doctor 63:25

document 7:5 17:19 20:14, 21:15 43:5 74:10,15 75:1,13,15 101:23,25 103:2 documentation 103:14

documents 6:19 7:1,2,4,9 11:25 17:22 19:15 20:4,10,19,22 23:14, 18,19 24:3,25 76:14 98:16 101:2

Dolsen 51:22,23,24 52:5,6 53:12 54:3 63:2,16,17 64:10 67:24

Dolsens 62:22

door 14:4

double 31:13 47:21,23

doubt 37:18,19

draft 87:12 90:8,12,14,15,20 91:3 92:4,8,10,15,17 93:13 94:3 114:18,19

drafts 89:21,22,24

drink 98:5,7,8,10,12

Drive 6:3

driving 42:8

drove 49:23,25

dry 16:21 33:23

duly 6:7

Ε

earlier 62:23 63:1,7,10 64:14 67:18 78:16

early 43:15 47:12 54:17 58:16,18 69:10 72:4

earth 71:17

east 29:18,19

Eastern 15:8 18:4 21:9 22:19 23:9 28:21 33:6 47:14,20 49:2,11 52:15 57:6,10 70:9

Eastside 17:4 29:13,14 40:18 47:24 50:10

easy 105:25

Eaton 108:3 110:2,10

ecology 8:6 26:18 58:21 59:24 72:12 73:4 89:17 97:9 106:22 107:15,20 111:2,9 112:12,14

economic 68:16

education 10:13 12:11 59:8



114:10

educational 10:2

effect 74:6

efficacy 16:17

efficient 101:18

effluent 25:2

effort 21:13 114:4

efforts 53:17,18 54:8 114:1

Eighteen 49:7

electronic 18:8,18 19:5,24 20:23

elevated 39:22

elicit 9:1

Ellensburg 72:6 97:1,2

email 24:8,9 62:19 67:18,24 68:3

emails 24:13 95:3 108:25

emphasis 47:4,8 59:3

employed 15:5

employee 20:5

employment 15:10

encouragement 110:21

end 52:19,21 72:14 83:12 86:8 102:10,19 110:18

ended 84:22

enforcement 13:14 14:15 56:9, 10 96:18

engineer 70:15 85:24

engineering 10:16 11:17,18

engineers 88:3

enrolled 11:1

ensure 23:16 77:12

entail 12:5 13:11

entered 107:24 108:9

Enterprise 18:14

enterprises 60:9

entire 16:9 32:2 86:15

entries 76:4

Environment 7:13

environmental 10:17 11:11,12

29:6 66:1 68:15

EPA 53:14,15 64:9 107:11,25 108:10,21,23 109:3,25 110:1,11,

21 111:9 112:13 113:2

Equipment 39:6

Eric 22:18 37:25 38:3 109:1,4,16

110:9

erosion 39:1,2

escape 61:23

essentially 14:4

establish 26:22

established 113:12

Ev 98:25

evaluating 84:16

evaluation 48:3

eventually 27:12

examination 6:2,10 100:19

excellent 48:11

excerpts 44:15

exchanged 93:1,4

excuse 19:24 27:7 29:17 69:24

83:23 90:2

Executive 95:14

exhibit 17:17,18,21 20:12,13

21:15,18 30:14,17 34:11 35:19 36:9 37:5 40:22,25 44:10,13 46:18,23 49:13 50:3 62:17,18 65:8 67:16,18 72:22 74:9,10

76:15 78:12,13 79:11 81:13,14 82:4,7,8 84:4,5 86:7 98:14 103:1

exist 23:2

expect 18:13,21 92:22,23

expectation 20:3

expected 9:24

experience 10:12

Explain 10:15

explained 26:4

explaining 64:14

exporting 104:3

exports 103:10

expressed 90:23 91:2

expressing 76:12

extensive 99:11

F

fabulous 56:5 57:25

facilities 25:3 30:12 46:14 47:23 57:2,5,10,13,15 59:12 65:18 80:8

81:18 87:16 88:13

facility 32:2,8 33:7 39:9 41:19

47:16 52:17,22 54:20 55:12,20 66:2.5 79:21 80:2 81:15 96:9

98:25 100:6

fact 7:8 99:3 107:23

factors 39:2

fair 77:1

fall 39:24 52:3,7 55:3,8

familiar 11:24 12:8,9 72:2 77:23

familiarity 72:5

family 56:18

farm 56:19,21 79:24 105:8,14

farming 60:9

farms 105:6 106:15

February 6:3 12:25 13:1

federal 107:11

Federation 62:22 63:4 64:19

68:1 89:9

feed 29:23,24,25

feet 70:1

felt 27:4,7 28:21 43:15 59:13

114:25

fertility 10:9 15:22

fertilizer 62:12 81:4 104:13,14,

17

field 13:12,15 26:1 38:22 40:8 41:15 45:23,25 46:2,13 99:17

fields 25:3 38:19,20 47:24 53:2



figure 47:13,15 66:13 85:8 101:10 103:7

figured 103:12

file 18:4,8,9,23,25 19:5,16,17 20:19 24:18,24 25:6,9,11 50:24 51:3 109:23

files 19:11,19 20:23 22:20 50:22 79:18

fill 21:8 85:5

filling 25:23 77:19 101:17

finalized 114:19

find 80:22 106:6

fine 35:25 98:8

finish 61:12 62:2,4 73:13

finished 8:18 102:22 114:12

fits 11:21 flux 38:23

focused 16:5,7

folder 18:25 19:21,23,24 20:23

folks 109:3,15,25

follow 34:22 37:3,16,24 38:1,5 39:18,23 40:6 75:11 76:12

follow-up 39:15,20 75:3

follow-ups 100:5

food 7:13 30:25

foot 28:22 32:6 60:17 75:22

forget 17:1

form 26:2 30:22 32:13 101:14,17 102:18.20

formal 64:13

formally 40:19

format 67:8

formed 113:4

forms 24:12 31:15 67:6

formula 40:13

formulated 62:12 104:15

forward 18:4 **found** 56:13

foundation 43:11 70:14 71:7,20

fourth 24:3

frame 10:21 58:22 93:12 113:20,

23

framework 72:23,24

freezing 42:6

frequently 108:3

friendly 74:20

front 8:14 20:13 46:23 62:18

98:16

fruit 46:7,8,9,10 56:21

fruition 64:12

fulfill 24:4

fulfilled 23:16,17

full 7:20 48:10 76:23 85:9

G

gallons 37:8,10 75:16

gathered 18:2

gave 25:11,12 38:5 60:2 88:20

108:13

GDR 67:18

general 12:2 18:19 28:7 39:8 47:5 59:11 86:20 87:8

generally 51:12 86:21 87:9

geographically 29:16,17

George 55:16,17,18 56:4 58:1,4 63:17 67:19 68:5 80:3 82:13

geotech-style 71:13

get all 81:24

Ginny 35:23 87:22 88:2

give 8:18 18:14 30:6 59:20 81:17,

22,23 104:2

glad 98:24

glass 98:7,10

goal 39:11 73:18,20

good 25:14 56:6 57:18 58:7 60:6 68:18 81:11 83:15.18 85:12

105:9,22,24

Gordon 63:6 65:2 89:8,14,25 90:23 91:2.14.20 92:6 93:2

Gosh 93:10

government 29:8

grades 11:8

graduate 11:1

graduate-level 11:3,5

Grand 59:19

grant 84:16 114:11

great 47:19

greater 28:2

Gregory 95:20

grew 16:21

ground 12:6 25:1 26:24 53:19 70:1,2,3,7,12 90:1 92:7,10,20 97:3, 108:4 112:17

group 63:24 111:18 112:3,7,23

groups 29:6 59:25 113:4 114:6

guess 38:11 74:24 101:21

guessing 21:7 30:5

guidance 14:3 20:14,18 21:12 22:6,21 23:2 25:16,17 26:7,12 28:3 32:23 33:11 36:23 38:1,5

guidelines 20:6

guys 72:23

Н

H&s 65:15,21

Haak 98:25 99:17,25

Haaks 99:22

half 87:1 112:4,5

Hammington 6:4

hand 17:17 20:10

handbook 108:17

handbooks 20:5

handed 30:17

handing 35:19 46:23 50:2 74:10

78:12



handles 103:24

hands 31:12

handwriting 30:18,19 40:25

77:20

handwritten 24:19, 37:21

Hang 16:20,25 86:14

Hank 65:15 98:25

happen 42:25 47:23 111:11

happened 47:11 54:22

happening 45:12 75:2

hard 16:23 35:10 82:15

head 8:20 13:17 55:9 84:12 95:2,

health 13:3 73:5 94:2 102:11

hear 9:18

heard 95:11 111:7

hearing 16:23

hearings 8:15 98:17

Heaven 58:9

held 107:15

helped 22:24

Henry 7:15 33:10 65:13 77:24

96:2,17

Henry's 96:9

Heritage 98:2

Hey 60:1

high 58:17 76:13 87:15 88:12

97:18

higher 76:4,7

history 10:2 12:21 25:13 104:12

Hold 46:3 70:24

holdover 50:12

home 7:21

honest 69:8,9

Honestly 78:15,20

hope 6:24

horrendous 69:10

Horse 58:9

hour 76:20

hours 49:21

house 96:21,24 97:14,18

housing 52:23

Hover 14:24 15:2 57:13 64:1 92:3

93:24 111:6

hovering 63:25

Hundreds 54:1

hydrologic 70:12,17

hypothetical 61:16

hypothetically 108:16

ı

ID 30:25 31:6,7 65:18,23 75:15

Idaho 95:14

idea 43:5 47:19 66:9 67:3 84:25

idealistically 60:19

ideas 113:10

identification 17:18 20:12 30:14 40:22 44:10 46:18 62:17 67:16 74:9 76:15 79:11 81:13 84:4

98:14

identified 109:24 113:13

identifying 88:11

II 33:14,20 66:16 82:18

III 66:17

ILTAC 26:15

impacts 64:9

impermeable 70:20 71:16,18

implement 105:8

implemented 35:2

implied 57:12

important 70:25 104:23

improve 83:8,19 86:20 87:8

improvement 105:15

improvements 68:20 69:4,13,14

inadequate 80:17

inaudible 8:20

inches 27:25 28:1,2

include 88:24

including 7:14 67:25 72:3

Incomplete 61:16

incorrect 99:8 100:14

increase 34:4

indicating 81:14

industry 12:3 68:9 69:2,3 73:8

91:24 92:3 104:25

informal 47:6

information 9:2,12 19:20 25:12,

14,15 33:12,18 67:5,10 74:25 78:8 82:1 83:3 88:22 97:23

103:18,20,21 104:5 106:12,13,18,

20 108:11,19,21

informed 82:7

infrastructure 11:23

input 81:2 94:10

inside 38:22

inspect 31:25 39:8,9 46:13 79:21

inspected 19:18 31:17 79:12

98:25

inspecting 81:15,18

inspection 21:8 26:2 30:20,22 31:15,21 32:11,24 33:2,6 36:14

39:17 41:3,6 43:13 46:24 47:2 51:24 53:3 65:9,13 66:7,23 67:8

74:18,19 76:16,22 81:9 82:7,11 99:3,4,8 101:7,20 105:12

inspections 20:6 30:11 33:5 39:12,14 49:15 81:19,20 107:16

inspector 13:5,9,25 14:2 15:4,8 18:3 21:11 22:9 23:8 29:11,13 33:4 37:25 46:12 67:14 88:2

inspectors 13:12 21:23 22:13,15 25:7 26:13 51:8,10 81:25

inspectors' 22:10

instance 8:14 25:7 26:10,11 35:12 39:25 101:20



instances 47:10,11

intention 18:8

interagency 26:15 28:14

interest 59:8 111:18

interested 44:20

interesting 72:8

interests 68:14,15,16 111:19

Internet 18:17

interposed 9:18,21

inventory 108:15

involved 9:2 15:1 22:6,12,13 28:6,10,14 95:21 99:15 108:21 110:4,5 111:4,21

IR-4 16:17

Irene 7:23

irrigate 43:22,23 60:22

irrigating 43:8

irrigation 10:16 11:21,22,23 12:1,2 16:12 42:13,22 43:2,6,19, 20 44:4 45:2,7,11,12,15,20 46:8 60:21

issue 43:15 109:8

issues 39:21 66:1 71:23 110:12 112:2

item 87:15 114:2

items 23:22 86:6,18,23 87:6

J

Jan 56:14

January 13:1,2 101:21 102:15 110:18,19 111:24

Jason 22:17

Jay 63:6 89:8 95:13

Jeff 23:9 52:5 53:11 54:24 55:1,6 63:2,14,15

Jennings 93:7,20,21

job 12:22 13:11 14:1,7,9 15:4 21:11 22:9 28:17 33:2 34:24 56:7 60:6 69:15.16 105:9 jobs 21:13 22:10

John 93:7,20,21

Jones 56:18

Jr 77:24

Julie 55:14 111:7

July 75:12

June 15:13 19:10 75:12

Κ

K20 37:11,14

Kalama 110:5

Kanen 23:9

keeping 106:5

kilogram 75:23

kind 12:3 14:15,16 16:12 21:24 26:6 30:10 34:25 38:8 41:3 45:19 53:18 68:12 71:14 85:5 86:2 106:17,20 113:11

kinds 10:7 85:14

Kirk 23:7

Kristensen 6:13 9:20 16:22 21:16 24:1 30:15 36:1,12 40:23 42:15 43:10 44:11 46:19 57:18 61:15 62:5 65:10 68:24 69:6 70:13,21,24 71:19 77:16 79:9 82:5 100:20,23 101:25

Kylie 6:4

L

lab 80:14

labeled 65:22

Lacey 111:12

lack 43:11 70:13 71:7,20

lagoon 48:6 49:15,18 84:6,23 85:11,20,21 86:20 87:8 99:12,16

lagooning 71:14

lagoons 47:5,7 48:4,9 49:16 53:1 70:11,16,19 71:11,13,17,25 76:23 84:16,17,21 88:16,24 89:6 99:11 102:21 112:16 114:2,17,24

land 42:9 47:11 59:6,15,19 66:4 77:12,18 80:8,11 82:23 83:1,5

Larry 88:3

late 72:4

law 10:18 11:11,12,14 36:16,17 37:3

lawsuits 72:2 100:24

lawyer 96:3

laying 100:1

LDOC 28:23

LDOCS 28:17

lead 13:5,9,25 14:2 15:4 21:11,13 22:9 23:8 33:3 46:12 88:2

leaking 85:1 112:17 114:3

learning 12:20 21:24

leaving 103:10

led 21:15

left 15:17 102:19

legal 53:14,15

legally 35:3

legislation 36:24

legitimate 68:17

letter 74:11,23 76:12 78:9,18,22 79:1,4 81:14 99:6 112:13,19,20 113:2,19

letters 24:16 95:5

level 15:3 27:21 38:12,15 39:17, 20 40:5,18 76:10 90:4,12,20 105:14

levels 26:10,23 27:3,5 28:1 37:22 38:8,11,22 39:25 40:1,2 76:4,13 80:19,22 83:12 84:2 91:3 92:4 97:18

Liberty 65:15,21 76:16

lieu 9:8

likelihood 70:17

limited 70:18

limits 94:2,3

liners 71:13,14



liquid 48:4,16 77:11

list 17:22 19:1 29:4 44:16

listed 23:14 102:5

listening 72:7

listings 75:22

litigation 63:20

live 7:23 17:2,3,4

lived 17:1,6

livestock 26:16 28:24 29:1,5 97:20 111:14

LNMP 33:15 34:1,4,7,9

loading 15:23

loan 35:23

lobby 91:16,18,20,22,24

located 49:17

log 65:4

long 31:21,24 49:13,15,22 60:14, 15 64:16 66:7 69:3 96:16 97:2 105:1 108:24 110:9

looked 23:18 32:13 33:7 102:18

Lori 95:19

losses 44:18

lot 11:20 15:21 16:4 56:7 59:3,5 68:11,13,14,15 69:4,13 73:6 103:16,21 104:12 108:13 110:21 111:1

lots 29:23,24,25 47:9,10 59:23

love 42:3

low 41:15

lower 50:4 58:15 88:24

М

MA 33:21

made 18:2 28:12 36:6 41:16,17 68:21 69:13,14 77:5 89:24 97:17 103:3 104:5

main 18:9 19:16 52:22

maintain 35:8 36:19 80:9 99:12

maintained 34:12,13 36:7 103:25

maintaining 84:23 86:1,2

maintenance 84:24 85:13

make 6:15 18:5 19:1 28:20 31:15 34:7 59:9 69:4 71:2,5,11 101:5 104:21 112:7

makes 29:5

making 19:21 22:6 41:13 44:8 69:20.23

man 95:11

management 10:17 11:23 12:1, 2,16,24 13:6,18,20,21 15:22,23 16:2,3,4,6,12,13 32:14 33:16 36:17 39:9 44:14 45:2,7 48:21 50:5,25 51:3,14 54:19 58:24 59:1, 2 71:23 73:3 77:2 80:4,6 102:11 107:5,16

manager 12:23 88:3

managing 47:7 48:23 56:4

mandatory 36:3 51:16,18

manual 20:18 21:12 22:7,12,21, 23 23:2 25:16,17 26:8 32:23 33:1

manuals 11:24,25 20:5 28:3

manure 15:22 16:7 25:2 37:7 39:22 43:3,6,23 44:9 48:7,15 54:19 56:2,4 60:22,24,25 62:11 71:15,23 80:6 81:3 83:24 100:1 104:7,24 108:15,16

March 13:5,8 14:12 15:9 45:21 79:12 102:10

Marcy 96:19

mark 31:8

marked 6:22 17:17,18 20:12 30:14 35:19 40:22 44:10 46:18 50:2 62:17 67:16 74:9 76:15 78:12 79:11 81:13 84:4 98:14

married 17:9,11

match 43:14

materials 18:2

mature 33:21,22

Maxwell 63:13

Mccarty 18:3 19:8 24:10 35:20 78:16 79:1

Mccarty's 18:23,25

Mckinnon 22:16 23:10

Mclerran 110:15 111:24

meant 101:6

medium 87:16 88:12

meet 26:19 109:3 112:7

meeting 26:21 55:2,7 62:23,24 64:20,23,25 69:18 72:6,10 84:6,7, 9 86:15 87:10 105:19,20 109:11, 17,24 110:20 111:5,11

meetings 28:16 110:1 113:8

meets 105:14

Mena 24:10

mention 63:7,9

mentioned 28:23 57:25 63:1,22 64:2 111:23

mere 80:9

met 51:22, 77:24 78:2 79:19 89:12 111:23,25

middle 42:14,22 43:8 102:23

migration 60:11

milestones 87:22 102:4 113:11

milk 65:24

milking 30:24 33:23

milligrams 75:23

million 27:7,13,24 38:14 40:9 59:22 75:24 76:3,5 90:14 91:11 92:19

mine 9:15 41:2 64:21 77:17

minimum 48:25

minute 64:8

minutes 49:18 52:12 76:20

misread 23:22

missed 24:2

missing 19:2 26:18 83:24

mission 73:10,19,20,24 74:1, 107:3,7



misstate 36:13

misunderstood 57:14

MITCHELL 35:22

mixed 66:6

molecule 61:19

moment 61:12

money 53:16,22 54:7

monitor 11:7

monitoring 53:17,18,20 54:8 90:3,12 92:7,10,21 108:5 112:18

month 26:19 66:10 89:15

months 15:12 16:16 18:6 39:10 49:5,6,7 55:5 75:7 79:5 102:12, 14,24

months' 48:23

Morgan 111:7

morning 6:16 20:15

Morton 55:14

move 46:6 73:15 106:17,19

moved 16:19 17:5 38:4 102:10

movement 12:5

moves 11:20

moving 30:10 51:20 58:1,5 79:8

multiple 62:19 65:15

Ν

Naerebout 95:10

named 23:24 24:13 25:2 100:24

names 24:6 29:3 65:15 103:16,

17

narrative 85:4,15

native 67:8

natural 64:5

necessarily 48:20 51:6

needed 27:4,8 38:10 47:17 106:3

negatively 55:9 61:19 95:2,4

nice 56:21

nitrate 27:7,13,15,21 40:15 61:17 75:19 97:18 103:4

nitrogen 12:6,8,9 26:10 27:14 37:11 40:2,3,5 56:7 58:1,6,11,15 59:13 60:11,24 61:17 75:16,19,20 76:13 103:4,5,6 105:15 108:18

NMP 34:16

NMPS 35:12

NO3 75:22

nodded 84:12

nodding 8:20

nonverbal 8:20

Nora 24:10 88:2

normal 40:7

north 52:19,21

northwest 22:18 23:10 94:14

107:20

Nos 62:17

note 46:21 102:9 104:5

notes 24:19,21 37:22 55:7 84:5

103:2

notice 15:2 23:15 66:16 81:18,

22,24

noticeable 20:22

noticed 59:5

noting 89:2 102:7

November 16:11 30:20 45:21

87:16 106:2

NPDES 28:7 29:25 30:2,4,8

50:12,23 51:13 79:24

NRCS 26:17 45:10 59:18 70:16 84:14 85:3 87:20 88:4,21,23 94:7

108:17 111:10

NRCS-TYPE 12:14

number 6:18,19 9:7 31:6 34:8 66:18 72:2,3 76:8 91:16,19,21,22,

25 105:19,20

numbers 31:7 44:22 66:18,24

108:20

numerous 67:25

nurseries 58:10

nutrient 12:16,24 13:6,17,18,20, 21 15:22 16:3,4,5,12 32:14 33:16 34:12,17 36:10,17 39:8 44:14,18, 19 48:21 50:5,25 51:3,14 58:24 59:1,2 61:5,6 69:17 73:3 81:2 83:14 102:11 107:5,16

nutrients 16:7

0

oath 6:8 8:24,25 57:22

object 99:22

objection 6:16,25 7:5 30:16 36:12 40:23 42:15 43:10 44:12 46:19 61:15 68:24 69:6 70:13,21 71:2,6,7,19 79:9 82:5 99:20

objections 9:18,20

occasion 57:15

occasions 96:23

occur 39:13 45:20

occurred 55:7

occurring 42:22 43:6

October 20:14 22:22 45:12 46:9,

24 48:1

odds 105:1

odors 99:14

offer 98:7

offered 98:10

office 14:20 32:6 47:3 75:11

109:13

official 67:9

Ogden 96:19

Ogden's 97:14

Olympia 6:4 7:24 17:3,5 19:16

25:9 38:4 109:6

One's 31:11

Oords 96:2

Oords' 33:10

operating 72:23,25

operation 56:6 84:24 85:12



operations 97:20 106:14

opinion 35:4 69:9 90:21,23,25 91:2 107:21

opportunity 14:25 71:5 100:10

oral 6:1

order 6:22 40:6 107:25

orders 108:9

Organics 103:21,23,24

originally 20:8 outlining 20:6

outreach 88:10

Oversight 28:24 29:1

owner 96:3

Ρ

P205 37:11,12

pages 87:1

Palace 7:15 30:21,24 31:2,3,4, 18,19,25 37:16 41:6 44:13 46:25 50:5,17 51:20,21 54:13,17 56:5 68:4 101:3

Palace's 45:5

paperwork 81:24

paragraph 50:6 86:23 99:6,10

Pardon 17:1 97:12 parentheses 81:3

parlor 30:25

parlors 30:24 31:13 65:22

part 22:9,10 43:4,18 50:23 51:2, 18,19 59:15 64:5 70:1 73:10,23 77:16 85:11 86:13 88:5,21 91:12 102:23

Partially 32:5

participate 112:23

participated 12:18

particle 61:21

particularized 16:1

Partners 84:6

parts 27:7,13,24 38:14 40:8,9 59:22 75:23 76:3.5 90:14 91:11 92:18 101:16

parts-per-million 40:15

Pasco 79:20,22

passed 67:13

past 45:5 54:13

Pat 96:4

path 105:9

Patrick 95:25 96:1,3

PCHB 100:11

penalized 114:8

penalties 15:1 114:9

penalty 15:1

pending 54:10 66:12 89:3 94:13

Pentzer 22:17

people 24:6 26:17 29:7,8 54:21 62:19 63:24 64:15,16,18 65:6 67:25 93:17 94:9 103:23 111:6 113:4

percent 34:4 48:9,14,16 58:6 60:25 61:2 69:17 76:23 103:4,12 105:17,18,21 106:9

percentage 105:10

perfect 38:24 40:10

perform 13:24 15:20

period 14:7 20:8 24:14

Periodically 99:10

permit 8:6 28:7,8,15 30:1,2,4,8 50:12,23 53:8,9 66:3 72:6,13,15, 17,19 79:24 89:16 90:8,13,20 91:3 92:4,8,11,15,17 93:2,6,9,13 94:2,3,15,21,24 95:22 107:14

permits 53:6,7 107:17

permitted 50:13,14 107:22

person 14:5 54:22 82:15 109:1.4. 5,16

personal 9:8

personally 22:6

pesticide 16:16,18

pesticides 15:24

phone 24:15,16 65:4 78:6,13,17,

21 95:1

phonetic 23:9

phosphorus 37:13 38:11,12,18,

21 105:15 108:18

physical 85:2

physically 109:11

physiology 10:11

piece 90:1 105:5 106:16

pile 102:1

piled 64:15

pipes 11:20

place 27:10 42:19 52:13 58:11,12

61:13 62:24 91:5

plan 12:17 32:14, 33:16 36:17 44:14 45:19,22 48:21 49:4 50:5, 25 51:3,14 82:18,21 87:15 88:10, 12 110:22 111:16

planned 45:25

planning 13:18

plans 58:24 59:2 107:16

play 36:16

point 12:10 62:11 89:12 97:14

policy 53:11 81:17 105:8

pollution 8:15 98:16

pond 44:7

ponds 43:23

pooling 41:14

Porter 54:15 55:2

Portland 96:4

position 13:11 14:14,22 99:25

100:4

positively 61:20

possessed 24:25

possession 20:5

possibly 26:22 28:20 65:3,4 84:10

postbachelor's 10:13



postgrad 10:12,22

potassium 37:15

potential 104:7

potentially 9:8

pound 60:24

poundages 75:18

pounds 37:7,10, 40:11, 75:15 76:1 104:2

practice 45:10 70:16 99:13,14,15 100:2,7

practices 104:18

predecessor 54:24

Predominantly 40:4

prefer 7:22

prefill 101:15

prejudiced 99:21

preparation 19:19

prepared 47:17

presence 63:9

present 12:22 17:2 44:14,17 55:2,17,23 63:2,5,16 84:9,11,13 109:11

presented 85:18

presenting 86:10

Presently 12:23

pressure 68:9,11,12,13,14,16,17

pressures 68:19 73:2,5

Prest 6:2,7,12 7:11,19,23,25 17:19 30:17 40:25 44:13 62:18 74:10 84:5 98:15 100:22

pretty 21:7 25:21 56:6 74:20 85:16

prevent 71:4

previously 6:21 50:2 111:25

primarily 111:12

primary 73:17

prior 15:4,10 17:5 21:25 22:21,25 25:7 39:16 64:22 81:2 108:9

problem 39:16 68:22,23 69:1,5,

11,23

problems 39:1 86:21 87:9

procedures 25:20

proceeding 8:8

process 21:14,21 25:24

processes 25:22

produce 17:23

produced 6:21

producer 27:17 33:12,19,20

82:21 83:2

producers 27:9 29:3,5 37:3 60:5 94:21,23 103:12,15,16,17 104:1 105:7.11

production 10:9 16:8 19:14 23:12 58:9 65:24

professional 71:10

professors 94:9

program 11:1 12:23,24 13:2,3,6, 19,20,21 18:6 31:1 39:9 47:4 58:20 73:4,17 80:13,16 81:1,5 88:3 102:11,12 107:4,5,7,8

program's 39:11

progress 105:22

project 18:10,11 21:5 102:24

proper 52:22 77:2 84:24 92:23

proper- 52:21

properly 47:16

property 41:7 52:19

proposal 111:16 114:11

proposed 94:2,21

Prosser 15:13,15 17:6 71:22 89:11

00.11

protect 73:25

protection 97:4

protective 6:22 26:24 27:22

protects 107:8

provide 14:2 21:11 26:12 41:22 42:20 51:7,9 75:4 103:18

provided 19:6,20 20:8,15 24:7 38:1 42:11 108:19 114:18

providing 19:20 50:22 51:12 94:10 110:21

public 28:11,12,16 67:2,10 109:7,22

Puget 84:17 89:1

pull 21:22 25:10

pulling 111:17

pumping 77:18

purely 85:15

purpose 9:1 41:12 109:17

purposes 9:8 31:18 65:24

pursuant 6:22

put 12:1,16 19:4 25:25 31:22 33:1 37:25 53:13 59:4 60:2,4 66:24 67:11,12 77:4 88:22 93:12 102:16 106:6 108:14 114:4,19

putting 13:13,16,25 14:3 18:8 58:12 60:20 104:24

Q

qualitative 84:19

quality 16:13 26:4 73:17,21,24 107:8, 110:12 112:2 113:17

quality-related 111:14

quantitative 84:19

quantity 99:16

question 8:18 9:15,16,22 21:19, 25 22:11 31:8 42:21 43:12, 48:13 50:16,18,21 56:25 61:12 62:3,9 66:12 71:21 73:13 79:24 86:12,17 87:3,4,5 88:8 89:3,4 91:18 94:13 98:22 100:13 102:8 106:18

questioning 70:25

questions 9:24 71:3 90:9 100:21

quick 57:19

R

raise 91:16,19,20,22,24 92:4

ranges 67:11,12

rate 27:14,20 35:11



rates 27:17 35:8

RCW 36:23

read 23:17 77:16 78:22 87:4

reading 43:14 68:3

ready 6:12 21:4

reason 24:2 63:9 68:18 87:21

101:13

reasonable 46:8 91:4,12,14

recall 31:24 38:5 49:23 53:4 64:2 84:6 91:9 96:19 98:15 104:8 109:2,20

receive 24:21

received 78:16 94:23

receiving 74:23

recent 20:18 74:12,14,16 110:17

111:23

recently 83:24 107:20

recognition 105:2

recognize 8:23,25 38:10 40:25 68:20, 77:22

recollect 44:3

recollecting 41:25

recollection 8:9 28:25 29:10 68:3 78:10,13,19,24 79:5 81:10

Recommend 77:11

recommendation 34:7 77:5 87:13

recommendations 13:14 86:9, 17,18,25 87:6

recommended 90:12

record 6:15,17 7:20 18:5 50:15 57:21 67:9 71:2,6 78:25 100:17, 22

recording 77:8

recordkeeping 35:5,6,7 36:3 51:17,18 59:4 81:11

records 18:7 25:8 34:12 35:8,10, 13 36:10,20 42:4 59:6,7,10 75:4, 10 83:9,21,22,23 104:4 105:6,12, 13,24 106:1,3,5 109:7,8,18,20

redacted 66:22 67:1,5,7,9

reeling 92:18,20

refer 7:4 72:22 74:15

reference 26:6 99:21

references 26:7

referrals 19:3

referring 74:18 97:7

reflect 50:15

reflects 36:17

refresh 28:25 68:3 78:10,13,18,

24

refreshes 79:5

refused 98:12

region 22:17,18,19 23:10 46:15,

16

regional 18:4,23 25:10

register 108:13

registration 15:24 16:16

registrations 16:18

regular 57:11

regularly 42:25

regulated 60:7

regulation 106:14,15

regulatorily 104:21

regulators 59:20

regulatory 68:13 72:22,24

related 23:23 24:7 25:1 27:14

relates 103:2

relating 23:19

relatives 56:17

relevance 99:20,22

Relevancy 99:23

relevant 20:7

reliable 50:21

remember 28:5 33:1,7 54:2,5,6, 18 55:24 58:20,23 63:11,21 64:4 78:5 81:10 91:15 92:12,15,17 96:15 98:11,12,18,21,22,24 106:1

109:9 112:19 113:23

REMEMBERED 6:1

remind 107:6

reminding 82:1

removal 27:19 99:15

remove 99:11

removed 81:2

removing 56:7

renewal 77:11

repeat 21:19

rephrase 91:1

report 36:14,21 50:6,9,17,20 51:2 67:9 74:19 76:22 77:7 81:9

82:7 88:18

reported 37:7

Reporter 6:5

reports 50:23 51:12 98:1,2

105:12

represent 7:11 100:23

representative 9:4 73:7

representatives 63:4 94:15

request 18:1,24 19:14 20:16 23:11,12,16,17 24:4 25:5 41:16,

18 67:2,11 74:25 109:22

requested 20:4 67:10 75:9 113:20

requests 17:24

required 35:13 51:7,9 67:11,12

92:7,10,21 104:3

requirement 35:7 36:5 51:13

requirements 28:15 34:16

requiring 53:19

research 15:13,15,16,17,18,20

16:1 71:22 114:10

reserved 99:23

residual 61:4

respect 33:11 102:9 113:12

114:2

respond 97:21

responded 113:5



responding 113:4

response 78:18 97:22

responses 8:21

responsibilities 13:13

responsibility 29:15

responsible 14:4 29:20 87:25

Restoration 7:12

result 78:21

resulting 41:14

results 66:6 88:18,20 89:5

retract 100:10,11

review 14:18,20,24,25 17:23 19:19 28:4 51:11 107:16 109:7,18

reviewed 18:25 32:18,20 35:21 45:5 114:21,22

revise 21:20

revised 21:14 22:25

revising 22:12

revision 21:4,14,21 22:7,25

riding 53:11

right-hand 50:4

rise 60:5

risk 87:16 88:12

Rives 96:4

road 56:22

Robinson 23:7

role 9:3 13:17,24 14:11 15:5,

16:15

room 28:18 53:13 109:14

root 61:7,23

roots 61:9,10

routine 39:11 66:7 81:19,20

99:3,7

rule 35:6

run 44:21

running 38:19 43:20 86:7 87:1

Ryan 95:25 96:1,4,6,11,14

S

safe 98:5

safety 7:13 31:1

Sally 88:3

sample 37:7 88:15 98:1,2

samples 58:17 97:24

sampling 25:2 26:4 28:21 60:10

80:13,16 81:1 89:6

scanned 19:4

scanning 18:7,11

schedule 45:11,17 46:8 55:10

school 11:12,14

science 10:3,18

scientific 26:11 94:10

scientist 15:21

scientists 27:1

scream 72:7

search 24:9

section 33:14,20 34:11 35:20 36:3 51:16,17,18,19 54:20 66:16,

17 79:23 80:12,23 82:18 83:14,17

sediment 15:23 38:18

seed 10:10

seepage 86:21 87:9 114:8,12

selection 80:14

send 83:25

sends 113:2

sense 29:5 31:15

separate 80:9

September 45:14 62:25

services 13:4 18:14

session 84:5

sessions 28:11

set 64:20

sewage 71:15

shallow 70:2

shape 48:12

share 66:4 80:7,8

shared 80:6,10

sheds 32:5

sheets 48:22

shift 59:16 104:21

shook 55:9 95:2,4

short 100:15

short-sighted 104:6

shortcut 6:25

shorter 40:6

shorthand 7:4

shortly 10:24 74:23

shortsighted 104:10

show 9:9 45:14 51:15 52:9

105:22,24

showing 50:3 105:25

shows 45:11 88:18 104:12

side 47:18 107:11

sidelined 21:5,6

sideways 21:5

signature 101:9

signed 15:2

similar 13:24 95:13

simple 25:21,24 60:23

simplification 31:18

single 7:3 35:15

sir 14:19,23 20:17 34:15 76:18

79:13 82:3

sister 93:5 106:2,23

sit 31:24 63:25 64:13 106:3

site 32:16,21 33:24 36:7 49:19,25

51:11

sits 67:8

sitting 28:18

situation 38:23

six-page 74:10



slope 85:6,13

small 84:16 85:19 88:20,23

smaller 86:13

smidgeon 10:14

snow 42:7

snowing 42:5

soil 11:21,24 15:21,22 25:1 26:11,23 27:1,4,22,23 28:21 39:22 53:20 58:10,17 59:6,17 60:10,17 61:6,21,25 80:13,16 81:1 83:12,15,18,23 90:2,3,4,12 104:19,22 108:5,7

soils 10:9 12:6 108:5

solids 48:7 77:11 85:9 86:1 99:11,16

Sons 7:16

sort 10:18 21:4,13 22:18 25:22 26:1,3 27:2 28:9,10 47:6 48:5 58:3 59:16 60:19,20 71:12 80:10 84:15 92:18 102:15 103:9,11 105:14 111:13

sought 27:1

Sound 84:17 89:1

source 62:14

sources 104:8

southwest 6:3 7:24 46:15

spaces 60:18

speaking 71:1

specialty 16:1

specific 12:3,4 75:10 103:15 106:12 111:14 113:19 114:9

specifically 59:12 90:5 91:9 103:25 109:20 110:11

specifications 114:23

specifics 26:10

speculation 32:1 42:16 43:4,11 61:16 70:22 71:8,20

spend 49:15 52:10 72:9

spent 15:21 16:16 52:12 56:22 66:14 71:22

spot 41:15

spread 99:17

spreadsheet 106:6

spring 18:22 78:7

Springer 30:23 31:2,4,11

Sr 78:2

staff 21:8 24:5

stakeholder 29:6 111:18

stakeholders 29:6

stand 28:23 33:21

standards 70:16

standing 6:25 7:8

star 80:25

start 25:18.21.23 44:20 113:21

started 14:9 15:7,17 16:10 47:8 59:17 60:3 67:14 97:3 102:21 111:16

starting 12:22 36:20 66:16 83:18

starts 50:6 86:24 109:1

state 6:5 9:19 10:4 29:7 46:17 47:18 60:4 68:1 69:22 70:3 73:15 89:9 95:13 106:22 107:9,14,18 110:22

stated 74:1

statewide 105:16

Station 15:13,15 71:23

stay 106:4

Stephens 16:10

Steve 63:17 74:11,22 77:25 78:17 79:19

stick 61:21

Stoel 96:4

stop 96:25 97:2 104:22

stopped 41:22 42:11,20 54:23 96:24

stopping 7:3

storage 31:14 39:22 47:12,15,17 48:4,17,23,24 49:1 77:4, 99:12

story 105:3,4

straightforward 60:23

strike 47:10

structure 85:11

studies 16:17

study 114:8,12,15

stuff 25:22 108:13

subject 30:4

submitted 114:11

substance 89:19

successful 114:14

sugar 15:25 16:21

suggestion 34:23 37:6

suggestions 37:6

suina 8:5

suits 54:11

Sullivan 14:6 24:10

summarizing 50:7 51:12

summer 78:7

summers 56:16,22

Sunnyside 96:24

superseded 72:17

Supervising 13:12

supervisor 15:18 63:13

support 73:15

supports 107:9

supposed 19:17 25:10 58:24 77:22 102:14

surface 25:1 69:21,24,25

surprised 49:21

surveillance 42:7

suspect 31:20

swear 32:25

switched 16:15

sworn 6:7

system 46:4 61:2

systems 44:4 80:6



Т

tailored 26:1

taking 13:14 18:7 47:5 52:8 58:11 71:12 86:1 103:21 113:1

talk 26:20 27:9 48:5 52:11,14 60:17 80:12 84:22 85:8,9 89:14 91:10 103:11 106:9 110:2.9

talked 84:14 89:16 91:10,11 96:1 108:3 110:11

talking 6:20 53:8 56:1,3,16,17 58:3 59:11 62:23 86:22 97:3 106:8,23 110:10,14,15

talks 111:8

target 30:10 59:20,21 60:2

task 88:8,10

tasks 88:6

teach 12:19

team 88:5

Tebb 93:22

Tebbutt 6:11,24 7:7,11 21:17 35:25 36:2 46:21 57:20 65:11 99:23 101:2,24 102:4 103:3 104:6 107:3

technical 12:15 19:3 26:16 41:4, 12,16,17,22 42:11,20 59:15,18 84:14

technicality 80:10

technician 15:16,19

Ted 63:13

tells 48:11

templates 87:15 88:12

ten 102:12

terms 16:3,4 42:9 86:1 93:2,6 94:16,21,24 100:1 107:11

Terry 95:19

test 26:23 27:4 59:6,17 83:12,15, 18,24 90:2,4 92:19 98:3 104:23

testified 6:8 8:13 78:16 79:1

testify 6:21 8:7

testimony 7:2,6 9:7,9,10 36:13 100:10 104:8

testing 53:20 88:15 108:6,7

tests 39:22 60:13 97:24 104:19

thereto 7:2

thing 31:16 34:25 58:7 63:23 66:6 92:24 104:16 114:6

things 19:2 26:20 27:10 38:2 54:21 59:4 71:13 83:9 85:14 107:11 108:6 112:14,22 113:2,11, 16,20, 114:22

thinking 42:19 47:20 72:8

third-party 106:24 110:6,23 111:12 112:17

thought 26:24 38:17 47:19 58:17 69:10 84:15

thousands 53:24 54:1

threshold 26:23 27:8 59:17

time 7:3 8:10 10:21 11:6 14:7 15:17,21 16:23 20:7 22:17 23:8 24:13 26:2,14 29:22 31:6,11,17, 32:23 36:12 38:13,14 41:9,10 42:5,10 46:12 51:25 52:2,4,10 57:11,18 58:22 59:1 60:14,15 64:16 66:13,22 72:9 93:12 96:2 97:1 100:7 101:17,18 103:11 110:9 111:22,23 113:19,23

timelines 87:22 113:12

times 8:2 40:15 76:7 77:25 96:24

timing 80:13 81:1 104:23

tiny 15:25

today 6:20 7:14 8:24 9:20 18:16 19:6,20 31:24 78:16 100:25

told 33:19 68:8 83:2 89:22

Tom 93:22 108:3 110:2

tons 48:17

tool 21:24 84:15,17,20,22,25 85:2,4,15,18,23 86:4,8,14,19,20 87:7,8,10,12,13 88:16 89:6 102:13

tools 85:8

top 87:21 101:15

topic 67:17 86:14

total 24:9 27:14 33:17,24 75:19,

20 82:19

totally 102:18

tour 32:2,4 55:12

toured 52:17

track 15:16

trained 33:2

transcript 6:14

transition 58:20

transposition 101:10

tree 46:7,8,9,10

tremendous 99:16

Tri-cities 10:23

trial 9:10 99:24

trip 74:12,14,16

triticale 77:4

trouble 106:4

truck 32:10

Tuesday 6:2

Tumwater 109:6

turn 17:21 103:1

turned 43:19

type 8:4 15:20 107:10

types 11:25 71:3

typical 42:13,21 45:7,10

typically 49:15

U

Uh-huh 32:17

Um-hmm 50:8

undergraduate-level 11:4

understand 8:21,22 9:5,6,12,13, 14,22,23,25 18:16,19 19:13 51:1 57:22 74:2 86:11 91:7 92:14 112:8 113:1,5,18

understanding 29:9 67:20



understood 9:16

undertaken 114:1

unique 30:25

University 10:4 59:19 98:2

unpleasant 99:13

unsightly 99:13

upcoming 53:6,7

update 21:12 34:7

updating 25:20

upped 103:13

uptake 27:19

utilization 44:19

V

vacancies 21:8

vacant 14:14

vacation 74:17.21

Vaque 42:15 68:24 69:6

Vaguely 84:8

valley 17:8 35:17 38:20 56:7,18 58:2,6,15 59:13 60:3 88:25 103:6, 10 104:14 105:17 106:10 108:12 110:5,13

values 40:8 44:18 83:15.18

varies 35:15 81:23,25

variety 15:24

vault 24:9

vegetable 10:10 56:21

vehicles 53:11 64:15

verbal 95:9

verify 83:3,7

verifying 33:12

version 22:1,4,21 23:11

VI 34:11 80:12,23 83:14,17

view 62:11

violation 34:16 35:7

Virginia 6:2,7 7:23

visit 41:12 52:13,14 55:12,15 57:2,9.15 106:2

visited 57:5

visits 57:12

volumes 99:12

W

wait 8:18

waiting 18:14,20 39:23 114:24

walk 85:6,7

walk-through 48:3

walked 49:23

wanted 18:5 26:22 56:8 84:3 109:7 112:15 114:7

warn 27:11

warning 78:9

Washington 6:4,5 9:4,19 10:4 15:8 18:4 21:9 22:19 23:9 28:22 33:6 46:16 47:14,20 49:2,5,9,10, 11 52:15 57:6,11 62:22 68:1,10 70:3,9 73:16 89:9,17 106:22

waste 48:21

watch 80:19,22 83:12 84:1,2

water 10:17 11:20,23 12:1,2,6 16:13 25:1 26:3,24 39:3 43:2,3,19 44:3 45:2,7 53:19 60:13 61:22 69:21,24 70:1,2,3,12 72:11 73:17, 20,24,25 90:1 92:7,10,21 97:4 98:1,5,7,8,10 107:8,12 108:4, 110:12 111:13 112:2, 113:17

waters 69:22 70:3,7 107:8,18

ways 56:1

weather 11:25 42:4,6

website 74:5 114:20

Wednesday 99:7

week 110:2

weeks 81:15 110:17,18

weeks' 81:24

wells 43:21,22 60:13

west 29:17 47:18 49:19

Western 46:16 47:14 49:5,9,10

Westside 40:19

Whatcom 21:9 22:18 33:3,5 47:9

85:19 114:7,17

whatsoever 56:12

Whitefoot 56:14,23

wide 85:7

width 85:7

Wind 39:4,5

Winiecki 109:1,4,16 110:8

winter 42:14,18,22 43:9 45:23 47:7,16 48:18 49:1 99:12

Wood 63:6,7, 64:19 91:22

word 83:24

work 12:21 15:23 16:17,19 27:17 35:24 56:16 57:11 58:19 60:5 73:17 83:8,19 86:6,19 87:7 92:13 110:4 111:19 113:15

worked 11:5 15:8,21 16:11 54:17 112:15

working 13:15 59:17 64:15 66:9 89:17 96:25 103:23 112:25 113:16 114:19

works 113:9

worksheet 44:19 45:8

worksheets 45:2

world 104:22

worried 77:3

worries 75:21

worry 38:19 82:25

worth 36:10,20,22 89:2 100:5 102:7

written 74:3 93:1,4

wrote 23:6

WSCA 84:16

WSU 10:22 11:5,13,14,15 15:12

26:19 94:9



Υ

Yakima 17:8 35:17 38:20 58:6,15 59:13 60:3 88:25 103:6,10 104:14 105:17 106:10,11 108:12 110:5,

year 10:5 36:11 61:1,2,3 62:13 90:16 93:13 101:22 104:16,20 112:4,5

years 9:3 10:25 16:9 34:13,14 35:9,14,17 36:7,18 39:23 42:1 71:22 72:19 100:11 108:14

years' 36:9,20,22 100:5

yell 72:7

yields 104:18

Ζ

zone 61:7,23



Index: Yakima..zone